

Modern Algebra I

Lecture 9

Jung-Chen Liu

liujc@math.ntnu.edu.tw

2009, Fall

Today, we will finish the section

Section II.1: Free Abelian Groups

Today, we will finish the section

Section II.1: Free Abelian Groups

and start to cover the section

Section II.2: Finitely Generated Abelian Groups

Chapter II: THE STRUCTURE OF GROUPS

Section II.1: Free Abelian Groups

Chapter II: THE STRUCTURE OF GROUPS

Section II.1: Free Abelian Groups

Definition. Let G be an abelian group and let $X \subseteq G$.

Chapter II: THE STRUCTURE OF GROUPS

Section II.1: Free Abelian Groups

Definition. Let G be an abelian group and let $X \subseteq G$.

- X is **linearly independent** if for any distinct $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$,

Chapter II: THE STRUCTURE OF GROUPS

Section II.1: Free Abelian Groups

Definition. Let G be an abelian group and let $X \subseteq G$.

- X is **linearly independent** if for any distinct $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$,
$$n_1x_1 + n_2x_2 + \dots + n_kx_k = 0 \implies n_i = 0 \text{ for every } i.$$

Chapter II: THE STRUCTURE OF GROUPS

Section II.1: Free Abelian Groups

Definition. Let G be an abelian group and let $X \subseteq G$.

- X is **linearly independent** if for any distinct $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$,
$$n_1x_1 + n_2x_2 + \dots + n_kx_k = 0 \implies n_i = 0 \text{ for every } i.$$
- X is a **basis** of G if

Chapter II: THE STRUCTURE OF GROUPS

Section II.1: Free Abelian Groups

Definition. Let G be an abelian group and let $X \subseteq G$.

- X is **linearly independent** if for any distinct $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$,
$$n_1x_1 + n_2x_2 + \dots + n_kx_k = 0 \implies n_i = 0 \text{ for every } i.$$
- X is a **basis** of G if
 - (i) X generates G , i.e., $\langle X \rangle = G$, and

Chapter II: THE STRUCTURE OF GROUPS

Section II.1: Free Abelian Groups

Definition. Let G be an abelian group and let $X \subseteq G$.

- X is **linearly independent** if for any distinct $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$,
$$n_1x_1 + n_2x_2 + \dots + n_kx_k = 0 \implies n_i = 0 \text{ for every } i.$$
- X is a **basis** of G if
 - (i) X generates G , i.e., $\langle X \rangle = G$, and
 - (ii) X is linearly independent.

Chapter II: THE STRUCTURE OF GROUPS

Section II.1: Free Abelian Groups

Definition. Let G be an abelian group and let $X \subseteq G$.

- X is **linearly independent** if for any distinct $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$,
$$n_1x_1 + n_2x_2 + \dots + n_kx_k = 0 \implies n_i = 0 \text{ for every } i.$$
- X is a **basis** of G if
 - (i) X generates G , i.e., $\langle X \rangle = G$, and
 - (ii) X is linearly independent.

Definition. An abelian group F is called a **free abelian group** if F has a basis.

Review

Example. $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}$ is a free abelian group with a basis $\{e_i \mid i \in I\}$ where for each $k \in I$, $e_k = (a_i)_{i \in I}$ denotes the element in $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} a_k = 1 \\ a_i = 0 \quad \forall i \neq k. \end{cases}$$

Review

Example. $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}$ is a free abelian group with a basis $\{e_i \mid i \in I\}$ where for each $k \in I$, $e_k = (a_i)_{i \in I}$ denotes the element in $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} a_k = 1 \\ a_i = 0 \quad \forall i \neq k. \end{cases}$$

Theorem. Let F be a free abelian group with a nonempty basis X .

Review

Example. $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}$ is a free abelian group with a basis $\{e_i \mid i \in I\}$ where for each $k \in I$, $e_k = (a_i)_{i \in I}$ denotes the element in $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} a_k = 1 \\ a_i = 0 \quad \forall i \neq k. \end{cases}$$

Theorem. Let F be a free abelian group with a nonempty basis X . Then every nonzero element of F can be written uniquely in the form $n_1x_1 + \cdots + n_kx_k$ with $n_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ distinct.

Review

Example. $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}$ is a free abelian group with a basis $\{e_i \mid i \in I\}$ where for each $k \in I$, $e_k = (a_i)_{i \in I}$ denotes the element in $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} a_k = 1 \\ a_i = 0 \quad \forall i \neq k. \end{cases}$$

Theorem. Let F be a free abelian group with a nonempty basis X . Then every nonzero element of F can be written uniquely in the form $n_1x_1 + \cdots + n_kx_k$ with $n_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ distinct.

Remark. If F is a free abelian group with a nonempty basis X , then F is free on X in the category of abelian groups.

Ranks of Free Abelian Groups

Ranks of Free Abelian Groups

Theorem (1.2). Any two bases of a free abelian group F have the same cardinality.

Ranks of Free Abelian Groups

Theorem (1.2). Any two bases of a free abelian group F have the same cardinality.

Remark/Definition. Let F be a free abelian group.

Ranks of Free Abelian Groups

Theorem (1.2). Any two bases of a free abelian group F have the same cardinality.

Remark/Definition. Let F be a free abelian group.

Theorem (1.2) tells us that the cardinal number of any basis X of F is an invariant of F .

Ranks of Free Abelian Groups

Theorem (1.2). Any two bases of a free abelian group F have the same cardinality.

Remark/Definition. Let F be a free abelian group.

Theorem (1.2) tells us that the cardinal number of any basis X of F is an invariant of F . $|X|$ is called the **rank** of F .

Ranks of Free Abelian Groups

Theorem (1.2). Any two bases of a free abelian group F have the same cardinality.

Remark/Definition. Let F be a free abelian group.

Theorem (1.2) tells us that the cardinal number of any basis X of F is an invariant of F . $|X|$ is called the **rank** of F .

Proposition (1.3). Let F_1 and F_2 be free abelian groups. Then

Ranks of Free Abelian Groups

Theorem (1.2). Any two bases of a free abelian group F have the same cardinality.

Remark/Definition. Let F be a free abelian group.

Theorem (1.2) tells us that the cardinal number of any basis X of F is an invariant of F . $|X|$ is called the **rank** of F .

Proposition (1.3). Let F_1 and F_2 be free abelian groups. Then

$$F_1 \cong F_2 \iff F_1 \text{ and } F_2 \text{ have the same rank.}$$

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group.

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G ,

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Proof. Construct the free abelian group $F = \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Proof. Construct the free abelian group $F = \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z}$. Then $Y = \{e_x \mid x \in X\}$ is a basis of F .

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Proof. Construct the free abelian group $F = \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z}$. Then $Y = \{e_x \mid x \in X\}$ is a basis of F . Consider the map $f : Y \rightarrow G$ defined by $f(e_x) = x$.

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Proof. Construct the free abelian group $F = \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z}$. Then $Y = \{\mathbf{e}_x \mid x \in X\}$ is a basis of F . Consider the map $f : Y \rightarrow G$ defined by $f(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$. Then f induces a group homomorphism $\bar{f} : F \rightarrow G$ with $\bar{f}(\mathbf{e}_x) = f(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$.

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Proof. Construct the free abelian group $F = \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z}$. Then $Y = \{\mathbf{e}_x \mid x \in X\}$ is a basis of F . Consider the map $f : Y \rightarrow G$ defined by $f(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$. Then f induces a group homomorphism $\bar{f} : F \rightarrow G$ with $\bar{f}(\mathbf{e}_x) = f(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$. Since G is generated by X ,

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Proof. Construct the free abelian group $F = \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z}$. Then $Y = \{\mathbf{e}_x \mid x \in X\}$ is a basis of F . Consider the map $f : Y \rightarrow G$ defined by $f(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$. Then f induces a group homomorphism $\bar{f} : F \rightarrow G$ with $\bar{f}(\mathbf{e}_x) = f(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$. Since G is generated by X , \bar{f} is onto.

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Proof. Construct the free abelian group $F = \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z}$. Then $Y = \{\mathbf{e}_x \mid x \in X\}$ is a basis of F . Consider the map $f : Y \rightarrow G$ defined by $f(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$. Then f induces a group homomorphism $\bar{f} : F \rightarrow G$ with $\bar{f}(\mathbf{e}_x) = f(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$. Since G is generated by X , \bar{f} is onto. More precisely, if $a \in G$,

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Proof. Construct the free abelian group $F = \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z}$. Then $Y = \{e_x \mid x \in X\}$ is a basis of F . Consider the map $f : Y \rightarrow G$ defined by $f(e_x) = x$. Then f induces a group homomorphism $\bar{f} : F \rightarrow G$ with $\bar{f}(e_x) = f(e_x) = x$. Since G is generated by X , \bar{f} is onto. More precisely, if $a \in G$, then $a = n_1x_1 + \cdots + n_kx_k$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ and $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Proof. Construct the free abelian group $F = \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z}$. Then $Y = \{\mathbf{e}_x \mid x \in X\}$ is a basis of F . Consider the map $f : Y \rightarrow G$ defined by $f(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$. Then f induces a group homomorphism $\bar{f} : F \rightarrow G$ with $\bar{f}(\mathbf{e}_x) = f(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$. Since G is generated by X , \bar{f} is onto. More precisely, if $a \in G$, then $a = n_1x_1 + \cdots + n_kx_k$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ and $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Proof. Construct the free abelian group $F = \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z}$. Then $Y = \{\mathbf{e}_x \mid x \in X\}$ is a basis of F . Consider the map $f : Y \rightarrow G$ defined by $f(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$. Then f induces a group homomorphism $\bar{f} : F \rightarrow G$ with $\bar{f}(\mathbf{e}_x) = f(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$. Since G is generated by X , \bar{f} is onto. More precisely, if $a \in G$, then $a = n_1x_1 + \cdots + n_kx_k$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ and $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that

$$a = n_1x_1 + \cdots + n_kx_k$$

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Proof. Construct the free abelian group $F = \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z}$. Then $Y = \{e_x \mid x \in X\}$ is a basis of F . Consider the map $f : Y \rightarrow G$ defined by $f(e_x) = x$. Then f induces a group homomorphism $\bar{f} : F \rightarrow G$ with $\bar{f}(e_x) = f(e_x) = x$. Since G is generated by X , \bar{f} is onto. More precisely, if $a \in G$, then $a = n_1x_1 + \cdots + n_kx_k$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ and $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that

$$a = n_1x_1 + \cdots + n_kx_k = n_1\bar{f}(e_{x_1}) + \cdots + n_k\bar{f}(e_{x_k})$$

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Proof. Construct the free abelian group $F = \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z}$. Then $Y = \{e_x \mid x \in X\}$ is a basis of F . Consider the map $f : Y \rightarrow G$ defined by $f(e_x) = x$. Then f induces a group homomorphism $\bar{f} : F \rightarrow G$ with $\bar{f}(e_x) = f(e_x) = x$. Since G is generated by X , \bar{f} is onto. More precisely, if $a \in G$, then $a = n_1x_1 + \cdots + n_kx_k$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ and $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} a &= n_1x_1 + \cdots + n_kx_k = n_1\bar{f}(e_{x_1}) + \cdots + n_k\bar{f}(e_{x_k}) \\ &= \bar{f}(n_1e_{x_1} + \cdots + n_ke_{x_k}) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Proof. Construct the free abelian group $F = \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z}$. Then $Y = \{e_x \mid x \in X\}$ is a basis of F . Consider the map $f : Y \rightarrow G$ defined by $f(e_x) = x$. Then f induces a group homomorphism $\bar{f} : F \rightarrow G$ with $\bar{f}(e_x) = f(e_x) = x$. Since G is generated by X , \bar{f} is onto. More precisely, if $a \in G$, then $a = n_1x_1 + \cdots + n_kx_k$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ and $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} a &= n_1x_1 + \cdots + n_kx_k = n_1\bar{f}(e_{x_1}) + \cdots + n_k\bar{f}(e_{x_k}) \\ &= \bar{f}(n_1e_{x_1} + \cdots + n_ke_{x_k}) \in \text{Im } \bar{f}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Proof. Construct the free abelian group $F = \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z}$. Then $Y = \{e_x \mid x \in X\}$ is a basis of F . Consider the map $f : Y \rightarrow G$ defined by $f(e_x) = x$. Then f induces a group homomorphism $\bar{f} : F \rightarrow G$ with $\bar{f}(e_x) = f(e_x) = x$. Since G is generated by X , \bar{f} is onto. More precisely, if $a \in G$, then $a = n_1x_1 + \cdots + n_kx_k$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ and $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} a &= n_1x_1 + \cdots + n_kx_k = n_1\bar{f}(e_{x_1}) + \cdots + n_k\bar{f}(e_{x_k}) \\ &= \bar{f}(n_1e_{x_1} + \cdots + n_ke_{x_k}) \in \text{Im } \bar{f}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $G = \text{Im } \bar{f}$.

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Proof. Construct the free abelian group $F = \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z}$. Then $Y = \{\mathbf{e}_x \mid x \in X\}$ is a basis of F . Consider the map $f : Y \rightarrow G$ defined by $f(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$. Then f induces a group homomorphism $\bar{f} : F \rightarrow G$ with $\bar{f}(\mathbf{e}_x) = f(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$. Since G is generated by X , \bar{f} is onto. More precisely, if $a \in G$, then $a = n_1x_1 + \cdots + n_kx_k$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ and $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} a &= n_1x_1 + \cdots + n_kx_k = n_1\bar{f}(\mathbf{e}_{x_1}) + \cdots + n_k\bar{f}(\mathbf{e}_{x_k}) \\ &= \bar{f}(n_1\mathbf{e}_{x_1} + \cdots + n_k\mathbf{e}_{x_k}) \in \text{Im } \bar{f}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $G = \text{Im } \bar{f}$. Moreover, F has rank $|Y| = |X|$.

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Proof. Construct the free abelian group $F = \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z}$. Then $Y = \{\mathbf{e}_x \mid x \in X\}$ is a basis of F . Consider the map $f : Y \rightarrow G$ defined by $f(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$. Then f induces a group homomorphism $\bar{f} : F \rightarrow G$ with $\bar{f}(\mathbf{e}_x) = f(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$. Since G is generated by X , \bar{f} is onto. More precisely, if $a \in G$, then $a = n_1x_1 + \cdots + n_kx_k$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ and $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} a &= n_1x_1 + \cdots + n_kx_k = n_1\bar{f}(\mathbf{e}_{x_1}) + \cdots + n_k\bar{f}(\mathbf{e}_{x_k}) \\ &= \bar{f}(n_1\mathbf{e}_{x_1} + \cdots + n_k\mathbf{e}_{x_k}) \in \text{Im } \bar{f}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $G = \text{Im } \bar{f}$. Moreover, F has rank $|Y| = |X|$. This completes the proof.

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Remark. In fact, we show that if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then the group homomorphism

$\varphi : \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$ induced by $\varphi(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$ is an epimorphism.

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Remark. In fact, we show that if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then the group homomorphism

$\varphi : \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$ induced by $\varphi(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$ is an epimorphism.

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Remark. In fact, we show that if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then the group homomorphism

$\varphi : \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$ induced by $\varphi(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$ is an epimorphism.

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Remark. In fact, we show that if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then the group homomorphism

$\varphi : \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$ induced by $\varphi(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$ is an epimorphism.

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Remark. In fact, we show that if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then the group homomorphism

$\varphi : \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$ induced by $\varphi(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$ is an epimorphism.

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Remark. In fact, we show that if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then the group homomorphism

$\varphi : \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$ induced by $\varphi(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$ is an epimorphism. In particular, if G is generated by $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in G$,

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Remark. In fact, we show that if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then the group homomorphism

$\varphi : \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$ induced by $\varphi(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$ is an epimorphism. In particular, if G is generated by $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in G$,

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Remark. In fact, we show that if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then the group homomorphism

$\varphi : \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$ induced by $\varphi(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$ is an epimorphism. In particular, if G is generated by $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in G$, then we have an epimorphism $\varphi : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$ with $\varphi(\mathbf{e}_i) = x_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Theorem (1.4)

Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Remark. In fact, we show that if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then the group homomorphism

$\varphi : \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$ induced by $\varphi(\mathbf{e}_x) = x$ is an epimorphism. In particular, if G is generated by $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in G$, then we have an epimorphism $\varphi : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$ with $\varphi(\mathbf{e}_i) = x_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F ,

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F ,

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Remark. Theorem (1.6) shows that if F is a free abelian group of finite rank n ,

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Remark. Theorem (1.6) shows that if F is a free abelian group of finite rank n , then every subgroup of F is free abelian of finite rank $\leq n$.

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Remark. Theorem (1.6) shows that if F is a free abelian group of finite rank n , then every subgroup of F is free abelian of finite rank $\leq n$.

We use this Remark to prove the following Corollary.

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Remark. Theorem (1.6) shows that if F is a free abelian group of finite rank n , then every subgroup of F is free abelian of finite rank $\leq n$.

We use this Remark to prove the following Corollary.

Corollary (1.7). If G is a finitely generated abelian group generated by n elements,

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Remark. Theorem (1.6) shows that if F is a free abelian group of finite rank n , then every subgroup of F is free abelian of finite rank $\leq n$.

We use this Remark to prove the following Corollary.

Corollary (1.7). If G is a finitely generated abelian group generated by n elements, then every subgroup H of G can be generated by m elements with $m \leq n$.

Proof of Corollary (1.7)

Proof of Corollary (1.7)

Remark. Theorem (1.6) shows that if F is a free abelian group of finite rank n , then every subgroup of F is free abelian of finite rank m with $m \leq n$.

Proof of Corollary (1.7)

Remark. Theorem (1.6) shows that if F is a free abelian group of finite rank n , then every subgroup of F is free abelian of finite rank m with $m \leq n$.

Corollary (1.7). If G is a finitely generated abelian group generated by n elements, then every subgroup H of G can be generated by m elements with $m \leq n$.

Proof of Corollary (1.7)

Remark. Theorem (1.6) shows that if F is a free abelian group of finite rank n , then every subgroup of F is free abelian of finite rank m with $m \leq n$.

Corollary (1.7). If G is a finitely generated abelian group generated by n elements, then every subgroup H of G can be generated by m elements with $m \leq n$.

Proof. Since G may be generated by n elements,

Proof of Corollary (1.7)

Remark. Theorem (1.6) shows that if F is a free abelian group of finite rank n , then every subgroup of F is free abelian of finite rank m with $m \leq n$.

Corollary (1.7). If G is a finitely generated abelian group generated by n elements, then every subgroup H of G can be generated by m elements with $m \leq n$.

Proof. Since G may be generated by n elements, by Theorem (1.4), there exists a group epimorphism $\varphi : F \rightarrow G$ where F is a free abelian group of rank n .

Proof of Corollary (1.7)

Remark. Theorem (1.6) shows that if F is a free abelian group of finite rank n , then every subgroup of F is free abelian of finite rank m with $m \leq n$.

Corollary (1.7). If G is a finitely generated abelian group generated by n elements, then every subgroup H of G can be generated by m elements with $m \leq n$.

Proof. Since G may be generated by n elements, by Theorem (1.4), there exists a group epimorphism $\varphi : F \rightarrow G$ where F is a free abelian group of rank n . Since H is a subgroup of G ,

Proof of Corollary (1.7)

Remark. Theorem (1.6) shows that if F is a free abelian group of finite rank n , then every subgroup of F is free abelian of finite rank m with $m \leq n$.

Corollary (1.7). If G is a finitely generated abelian group generated by n elements, then every subgroup H of G can be generated by m elements with $m \leq n$.

Proof. Since G may be generated by n elements, by Theorem (1.4), there exists a group epimorphism $\varphi : F \rightarrow G$ where F is a free abelian group of rank n . Since H is a subgroup of G , the preimage $\varphi^{-1}(H)$ is a subgroup of F

Proof of Corollary (1.7)

Remark. Theorem (1.6) shows that if F is a free abelian group of finite rank n , then every subgroup of F is free abelian of finite rank m with $m \leq n$.

Corollary (1.7). If G is a finitely generated abelian group generated by n elements, then every subgroup H of G can be generated by m elements with $m \leq n$.

Proof. Since G may be generated by n elements, by Theorem (1.4), there exists a group epimorphism $\varphi : F \rightarrow G$ where F is a free abelian group of rank n . Since H is a subgroup of G , the preimage $\varphi^{-1}(H)$ is a subgroup of F and so by Theorem (1.6), $\varphi^{-1}(H)$ is free abelian of rank m with $m \leq n$.

Proof of Corollary (1.7)

Remark. Theorem (1.6) shows that if F is a free abelian group of finite rank n , then every subgroup of F is free abelian of finite rank m with $m \leq n$.

Corollary (1.7). If G is a finitely generated abelian group generated by n elements, then every subgroup H of G can be generated by m elements with $m \leq n$.

Proof. Since G may be generated by n elements, by Theorem (1.4), there exists a group epimorphism $\varphi : F \rightarrow G$ where F is a free abelian group of rank n . Since H is a subgroup of G , the preimage $\varphi^{-1}(H)$ is a subgroup of F and so by Theorem (1.6), $\varphi^{-1}(H)$ is free abelian of rank m with $m \leq n$. Moreover, because φ is onto, $\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(H)) = H$.

Proof of Corollary (1.7)

Remark. Theorem (1.6) shows that if F is a free abelian group of finite rank n , then every subgroup of F is free abelian of finite rank m with $m \leq n$.

Corollary (1.7). If G is a finitely generated abelian group generated by n elements, then every subgroup H of G can be generated by m elements with $m \leq n$.

Proof. Since G may be generated by n elements, by Theorem (1.4), there exists a group epimorphism $\varphi : F \rightarrow G$ where F is a free abelian group of rank n . Since H is a subgroup of G , the preimage $\varphi^{-1}(H)$ is a subgroup of F and so by Theorem (1.6), $\varphi^{-1}(H)$ is free abelian of rank m with $m \leq n$. Moreover, because φ is onto, $\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(H)) = H$. Since $\varphi^{-1}(H)$ can be generated by m elements,

Proof of Corollary (1.7)

Remark. Theorem (1.6) shows that if F is a free abelian group of finite rank n , then every subgroup of F is free abelian of finite rank m with $m \leq n$.

Corollary (1.7). If G is a finitely generated abelian group generated by n elements, then every subgroup H of G can be generated by m elements with $m \leq n$.

Proof. Since G may be generated by n elements, by Theorem (1.4), there exists a group epimorphism $\varphi : F \rightarrow G$ where F is a free abelian group of rank n . Since H is a subgroup of G , the preimage $\varphi^{-1}(H)$ is a subgroup of F and so by Theorem (1.6), $\varphi^{-1}(H)$ is free abelian of rank m with $m \leq n$. Moreover, because φ is onto, $\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(H)) = H$. Since $\varphi^{-1}(H)$ can be generated by m elements, $H = \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(H))$ can be generated by m elements.

Proof of Corollary (1.7)

Remark. Theorem (1.6) shows that if F is a free abelian group of finite rank n , then every subgroup of F is free abelian of finite rank m with $m \leq n$.

Corollary (1.7). If G is a finitely generated abelian group generated by n elements, then every subgroup H of G can be generated by m elements with $m \leq n$.

Proof. Since G may be generated by n elements, by Theorem (1.4), there exists a group epimorphism $\varphi : F \rightarrow G$ where F is a free abelian group of rank n . Since H is a subgroup of G , the preimage $\varphi^{-1}(H)$ is a subgroup of F and so by Theorem (1.6), $\varphi^{-1}(H)$ is free abelian of rank m with $m \leq n$. Moreover, because φ is onto, $\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(H)) = H$. Since $\varphi^{-1}(H)$ can be generated by m elements, $H = \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(H))$ can be generated by m elements. This completes the proof.

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

In order to show

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

In order to show

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

In order to show

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

we need the following lemma:

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

In order to show

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

we need the following lemma:

Lemma (1.5). If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of a free abelian group F

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

In order to show

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

we need the following lemma:

Lemma (1.5). If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of a free abelian group F and if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$,

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

In order to show

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

we need the following lemma:

Lemma (1.5). If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of a free abelian group F and if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then for all $i \neq j$,

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

In order to show

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

we need the following lemma:

Lemma (1.5). If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of a free abelian group F and if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then for all $i \neq j$, $\{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ is also a basis of F .

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

Lemma (1.5). If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of a free abelian group F and if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then for all $i \neq j$,
 $\{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ is also a basis of F .

Proof.

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

Lemma (1.5). If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of a free abelian group F and if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then for all $i \neq j$,

$\{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ is also a basis of F .

Proof. Let $Y = \{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

Lemma (1.5). If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of a free abelian group F and if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then for all $i \neq j$,

$\{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ is also a basis of F .

Proof. Let $Y = \{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

We need to show that (1) Y generates F

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

Lemma (1.5). If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of a free abelian group F and if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then for all $i \neq j$,

$\{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ is also a basis of F .

Proof. Let $Y = \{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

We need to show that (1) Y generates F

and (2) Y is linearly independent.

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

Lemma (1.5). If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of a free abelian group F and if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then for all $i \neq j$,

$\{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ is also a basis of F .

Proof. Let $Y = \{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

We need to show that (1) Y generates F

and (2) Y is linearly independent.

Since $x_j = (x_j + ax_i) - ax_i$,

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

Lemma (1.5). If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of a free abelian group F and if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then for all $i \neq j$,

$\{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ is also a basis of F .

Proof. Let $Y = \{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

We need to show that (1) Y generates F

and (2) Y is linearly independent.

Since $x_j = (x_j + ax_i) - ax_i$, every element in $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a linear combination of elements in Y .

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

Lemma (1.5). If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of a free abelian group F and if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then for all $i \neq j$,

$\{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ is also a basis of F .

Proof. Let $Y = \{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

We need to show that (1) Y generates F

and (2) Y is linearly independent.

Since $x_j = (x_j + ax_i) - ax_i$, every element in $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a linear combination of elements in Y . Furthermore, because F is generated by $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$,

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

Lemma (1.5). If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of a free abelian group F and if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then for all $i \neq j$,

$\{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ is also a basis of F .

Proof. Let $Y = \{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

We need to show that (1) Y generates F

and (2) Y is linearly independent.

Since $x_j = (x_j + ax_i) - ax_i$, every element in $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a linear combination of elements in Y . Furthermore, because F is generated by $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, Y generates F ,

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

Lemma (1.5). If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of a free abelian group F and if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then for all $i \neq j$,

$\{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ is also a basis of F .

Proof. Let $Y = \{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

We need to show that (1) Y generates F (*Proved!*)

and (2) Y is linearly independent.

Since $x_j = (x_j + ax_i) - ax_i$, every element in $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a linear combination of elements in Y . Furthermore, because F is generated by $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, Y generates F , i.e., (1) is proved.

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

Lemma (1.5). If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of a free abelian group F and if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then for all $i \neq j$,

$\{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ is also a basis of F .

Proof. Let $Y = \{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

We need to show that (1) Y generates F (*Proved!*)

and (2) Y is linearly independent.

Moreover, if

$$k_1x_1 + \dots + k_{j-1}x_{j-1} + k_j(x_j + ax_i) + k_{j+1}x_{j+1} + \dots + k_nx_n = 0,$$

with $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

Lemma (1.5). If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of a free abelian group F and if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then for all $i \neq j$,

$\{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ is also a basis of F .

Proof. Let $Y = \{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

We need to show that (1) Y generates F (Proved!)

and (2) Y is linearly independent.

Moreover, if

$$k_1x_1 + \dots + k_{j-1}x_{j-1} + k_j(x_j + ax_i) + k_{j+1}x_{j+1} + \dots + k_nx_n = 0,$$

with $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$k_1x_1 + \dots + (k_i + ak_j)x_i + \dots + k_jx_j + \dots + k_nx_n = 0;$$

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

Lemma (1.5). If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of a free abelian group F and if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then for all $i \neq j$,

$\{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ is also a basis of F .

Proof. Let $Y = \{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

We need to show that (1) Y generates F (Proved!)

and (2) Y is linearly independent.

Moreover, if

$$k_1x_1 + \dots + k_{j-1}x_{j-1} + k_j(x_j + ax_i) + k_{j+1}x_{j+1} + \dots + k_nx_n = 0,$$

with $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$k_1x_1 + \dots + (k_i + ak_j)x_i + \dots + k_jx_j + \dots + k_nx_n = 0; \text{ since } \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \text{ is linearly independent,}$$

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

Lemma (1.5). If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of a free abelian group F and if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then for all $i \neq j$,

$\{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ is also a basis of F .

Proof. Let $Y = \{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

We need to show that (1) Y generates F (Proved!)

and (2) Y is linearly independent.

Moreover, if

$$k_1x_1 + \dots + k_{j-1}x_{j-1} + k_j(x_j + ax_i) + k_{j+1}x_{j+1} + \dots + k_nx_n = 0,$$

with $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$k_1x_1 + \dots + (k_i + ak_j)x_i + \dots + k_jx_j + \dots + k_nx_n = 0; \text{ since}$$

$\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is linearly independent, we have

$$k_1 = \dots = k_i + ak_j = \dots = k_j = \dots = k_n = 0$$

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

Lemma (1.5). If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of a free abelian group F and if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then for all $i \neq j$,

$\{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ is also a basis of F .

Proof. Let $Y = \{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

We need to show that (1) Y generates F (Proved!)

and (2) Y is linearly independent.

Moreover, if

$$k_1x_1 + \dots + k_{j-1}x_{j-1} + k_j(x_j + ax_i) + k_{j+1}x_{j+1} + \dots + k_nx_n = 0,$$

with $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$k_1x_1 + \dots + (k_i + ak_j)x_i + \dots + k_jx_j + \dots + k_nx_n = 0; \text{ since}$$

$\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is linearly independent, we have

$$k_1 = \dots = k_i + ak_j = \dots = k_j = \dots = k_n = 0 \text{ and this implies}$$

$$k_1 = \dots = k_i = \dots = k_j = \dots = k_n = 0.$$

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

Lemma (1.5). If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of a free abelian group F and if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then for all $i \neq j$,

$\{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ is also a basis of F .

Proof. Let $Y = \{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

We need to show that (1) Y generates F (Proved!)

and (2) Y is linearly independent.

Moreover, if

$$k_1x_1 + \dots + k_{j-1}x_{j-1} + k_j(x_j + ax_i) + k_{j+1}x_{j+1} + \dots + k_nx_n = 0,$$

with $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$k_1x_1 + \dots + (k_i + ak_j)x_i + \dots + k_jx_j + \dots + k_nx_n = 0; \text{ since}$$

$\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is linearly independent, we have

$$k_1 = \dots = k_i + ak_j = \dots = k_j = \dots = k_n = 0 \text{ and this implies}$$

$$k_1 = \dots = k_i = \dots = k_j = \dots = k_n = 0. \text{ Hence } Y \text{ is linearly}$$

independent

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

Lemma (1.5). If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of a free abelian group F and if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then for all $i \neq j$,

$\{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ is also a basis of F .

Proof. Let $Y = \{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

We need to show that (1) Y generates F (Proved!)

and (2) Y is linearly independent. (Proved!)

Moreover, if

$$k_1x_1 + \dots + k_{j-1}x_{j-1} + k_j(x_j + ax_i) + k_{j+1}x_{j+1} + \dots + k_nx_n = 0,$$

with $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$k_1x_1 + \dots + (k_i + ak_j)x_i + \dots + k_jx_j + \dots + k_nx_n = 0; \text{ since}$$

$\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is linearly independent, we have

$$k_1 = \dots = k_i + ak_j = \dots = k_j = \dots = k_n = 0 \text{ and this implies}$$

$$k_1 = \dots = k_i = \dots = k_j = \dots = k_n = 0. \text{ Hence } Y \text{ is linearly}$$

independent and so (2) is proved.

An Easy-but-useful Lemma

Lemma (1.5). If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of a free abelian group F and if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then for all $i \neq j$,

$\{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ is also a basis of F .

Proof. Let $Y = \{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + ax_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

We need to show that (1) Y generates F (Proved!)

and (2) Y is linearly independent. (Proved!)

Moreover, if

$$k_1x_1 + \dots + k_{j-1}x_{j-1} + k_j(x_j + ax_i) + k_{j+1}x_{j+1} + \dots + k_nx_n = 0,$$

with $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$k_1x_1 + \dots + (k_i + ak_j)x_i + \dots + k_jx_j + \dots + k_nx_n = 0; \text{ since}$$

$\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is linearly independent, we have

$$k_1 = \dots = k_i + ak_j = \dots = k_j = \dots = k_n = 0 \text{ and this implies}$$

$$k_1 = \dots = k_i = \dots = k_j = \dots = k_n = 0. \text{ Hence } Y \text{ is linearly}$$

independent and so (2) is proved. The proof is complete.

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Proof. We will prove this theorem by induction on n .

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Proof. We will prove this theorem by induction on n . For the base case $n = 1$,

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Proof. We will prove this theorem by induction on n . For the base case $n = 1$, $F = \langle x_1 \rangle$ for some $x_1 \in F$.

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Proof. We will prove this theorem by induction on n . For the base case $n = 1$, $F = \langle x_1 \rangle$ for some $x_1 \in F$. Then $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle$, where $d_1 = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid kx_1 \in G\}$, by Theorem (I.3.5).

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Proof. We will prove this theorem by induction on n . For the base case $n = 1$, $F = \langle x_1 \rangle$ for some $x_1 \in F$. Then $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle$, where $d_1 = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid kx_1 \in G\}$, by Theorem (I.3.5). Since $\{x_1\}$ is linearly independent

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Proof. We will prove this theorem by induction on n . For the base case $n = 1$, $F = \langle x_1 \rangle$ for some $x_1 \in F$. Then $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle$, where $d_1 = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid kx_1 \in G\}$, by Theorem (I.3.5). Since $\{x_1\}$ is linearly independent and since $d_1 \neq 0$,

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Proof. We will prove this theorem by induction on n . For the base case $n = 1$, $F = \langle x_1 \rangle$ for some $x_1 \in F$. Then $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle$, where $d_1 = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid kx_1 \in G\}$, by Theorem (I.3.5). Since $\{x_1\}$ is linearly independent and since $d_1 \neq 0$, $\{d_1x_1\}$ is also linearly independent.

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Proof. We will prove this theorem by induction on n . For the base case $n = 1$, $F = \langle x_1 \rangle$ for some $x_1 \in F$. Then $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle$, where $d_1 = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid kx_1 \in G\}$, by Theorem (I.3.5). Since $\{x_1\}$ is linearly independent and since $d_1 \neq 0$, $\{d_1x_1\}$ is also linearly independent. Hence $\{d_1x_1\}$ is a basis of G .

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Proof. We will prove this theorem by induction on n . For the base case $n = 1$, $F = \langle x_1 \rangle$ for some $x_1 \in F$. Then $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle$, where $d_1 = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid kx_1 \in G\}$, by Theorem (I.3.5). Since $\{x_1\}$ is linearly independent and since $d_1 \neq 0$, $\{d_1x_1\}$ is also linearly independent. Hence $\{d_1x_1\}$ is a basis of G and this shows the base case $n = 1$.

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Proof. We will prove this theorem by induction on n . For the base case $n = 1$, $F = \langle x_1 \rangle$ for some $x_1 \in F$. Then $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle$, where $d_1 = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid kx_1 \in G\}$, by Theorem (I.3.5). Since $\{x_1\}$ is linearly independent and since $d_1 \neq 0$, $\{d_1x_1\}$ is also linearly independent. Hence $\{d_1x_1\}$ is a basis of G and this shows the base case $n = 1$. Next assume $n \geq 2$.

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Proof. We will prove this theorem by induction on n . For the base case $n = 1$, $F = \langle x_1 \rangle$ for some $x_1 \in F$. Then $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle$, where $d_1 = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid kx_1 \in G\}$, by Theorem (I.3.5). Since $\{x_1\}$ is linearly independent and since $d_1 \neq 0$, $\{d_1x_1\}$ is also linearly independent. Hence $\{d_1x_1\}$ is a basis of G and this shows the base case $n = 1$. Next assume $n \geq 2$. Consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $sy_1 + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Proof. We will prove this theorem by induction on n . For the base case $n = 1$, $F = \langle x_1 \rangle$ for some $x_1 \in F$. Then $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle$, where $d_1 = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid kx_1 \in G\}$, by Theorem (I.3.5). Since $\{x_1\}$ is linearly independent and since $d_1 \neq 0$, $\{d_1x_1\}$ is also linearly independent. Hence $\{d_1x_1\}$ is a basis of G and this shows the base case $n = 1$. Next assume $n \geq 2$. Consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $sy_1 + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Proof. We will prove this theorem by induction on n . For the base case $n = 1$, $F = \langle x_1 \rangle$ for some $x_1 \in F$. Then $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle$, where $d_1 = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid kx_1 \in G\}$, by Theorem (I.3.5). Since $\{x_1\}$ is linearly independent and since $d_1 \neq 0$, $\{d_1x_1\}$ is also linearly independent. Hence $\{d_1x_1\}$ is a basis of G and this shows the base case $n = 1$. Next assume $n \geq 2$. Consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $sy_1 + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Proof. We will prove this theorem by induction on n . For the base case $n = 1$, $F = \langle x_1 \rangle$ for some $x_1 \in F$. Then $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle$, where $d_1 = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid kx_1 \in G\}$, by Theorem (I.3.5). Since $\{x_1\}$ is linearly independent and since $d_1 \neq 0$, $\{d_1x_1\}$ is also linearly independent. Hence $\{d_1x_1\}$ is a basis of G and this shows the base case $n = 1$. Next assume $n \geq 2$. Consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $sy_1 + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem (1.6)

If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Proof. We will prove this theorem by induction on n . For the base case $n = 1$, $F = \langle x_1 \rangle$ for some $x_1 \in F$. Then $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle$, where $d_1 = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid kx_1 \in G\}$, by Theorem (I.3.5). Since $\{x_1\}$ is linearly independent and since $d_1 \neq 0$, $\{d_1x_1\}$ is also linearly independent. Hence $\{d_1x_1\}$ is a basis of G and this shows the base case $n = 1$. Next assume $n \geq 2$. Consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $\underline{sy_1} + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Assume $n \geq 2$ and consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $\underline{sy_1} + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Assume $n \geq 2$ and consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $\underline{sy_1} + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that in this case, $\{y_2, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ is also a basis of F ,

Assume $n \geq 2$ and consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $\underline{sy_1} + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that in this case, $\{y_2, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ is also a basis of F , whence $k_2 \in S$;

Assume $n \geq 2$ and consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $\underline{sy_1} + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that in this case, $\{y_2, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ is also a basis of F , whence $k_2 \in S$; similarly $k_i \in S$ for $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$.

Assume $n \geq 2$ and consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $\underline{sy_1} + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that in this case, $\{y_2, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ is also a basis of F , whence $k_2 \in S$; similarly $k_i \in S$ for $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$. Thus S contains “all possible coefficients for elements in G .”

Assume $n \geq 2$ and consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $\underline{sy_1} + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that in this case, $\{y_2, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ is also a basis of F , whence $k_2 \in S$; similarly $k_i \in S$ for $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$. Thus S contains “all possible coefficients for elements in G .” Since $G \neq 0$,

Assume $n \geq 2$ and consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $\underline{sy_1} + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that in this case, $\{y_2, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ is also a basis of F , whence $k_2 \in S$; similarly $k_i \in S$ for $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$. Thus S contains “all possible coefficients for elements in G .” Since $G \neq 0$, $S \cap \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$

Assume $n \geq 2$ and consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $\underline{sy_1} + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that in this case, $\{y_2, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ is also a basis of F , whence $k_2 \in S$; similarly $k_i \in S$ for $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$. Thus S contains “all possible coefficients for elements in G .” Since $G \neq 0$, $S \cap \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$ and so S contains a least positive integer d_1 .

Assume $n \geq 2$ and consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $\underline{sy_1} + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that in this case, $\{y_2, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ is also a basis of F , whence $k_2 \in S$; similarly $k_i \in S$ for $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$. Thus S contains “all possible coefficients for elements in G .” Since $G \neq 0$, $S \cap \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$ and so S contains a least positive integer d_1 . Thus, “ d_1 is the smallest possible positive coefficients for elements in G .”

Assume $n \geq 2$ and consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $sy_1 + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that in this case, $\{y_2, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ is also a basis of F , whence $k_2 \in S$; similarly $k_i \in S$ for $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$. Thus S contains “all possible coefficients for elements in G .” Since $G \neq 0$, $S \cap \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$ and so S contains a least positive integer d_1 . Thus, “ d_1 is the smallest possible positive coefficients for elements in G .” Since $d_1 \in S$,

Assume $n \geq 2$ and consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $\underline{sy_1} + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that in this case, $\{y_2, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ is also a basis of F , whence $k_2 \in S$; similarly $k_i \in S$ for $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$. Thus S contains “all possible coefficients for elements in G .” Since $G \neq 0$, $S \cap \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$ and so S contains a least positive integer d_1 . Thus, “ d_1 is the smallest possible positive coefficients for elements in G .” Since $d_1 \in S$, there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and $v \in G$ such that $v = d_1y_1 + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ for some $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Assume $n \geq 2$ and consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $\underline{sy_1} + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that in this case, $\{y_2, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ is also a basis of F , whence $k_2 \in S$; similarly $k_i \in S$ for $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$. Thus S contains “all possible coefficients for elements in G .” Since $G \neq 0$, $S \cap \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$ and so S contains a least positive integer d_1 . Thus, “ d_1 is the smallest possible positive coefficients for elements in G .” Since $d_1 \in S$, there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and $v \in G$ such that $v = d_1y_1 + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ for some $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Assume $n \geq 2$ and consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $sy_1 + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that in this case, $\{y_2, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ is also a basis of F , whence $k_2 \in S$; similarly $k_i \in S$ for $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$. Thus S contains “all possible coefficients for elements in G .” Since $G \neq 0$, $S \cap \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$ and so S contains a least positive integer d_1 . Thus, “ d_1 is the smallest possible positive coefficients for elements in G .” Since $d_1 \in S$, there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and $v \in G$ such that $v = d_1y_1 + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ for some $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Assume $n \geq 2$ and consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $\underline{sy_1} + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that in this case, $\{y_2, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ is also a basis of F , whence $k_2 \in S$; similarly $k_i \in S$ for $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$. Thus S contains “all possible coefficients for elements in G .” Since $G \neq 0$, $S \cap \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$ and so S contains a least positive integer d_1 . Thus, “ d_1 is the smallest possible positive coefficients for elements in G .” Since $d_1 \in S$, there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and $v \in G$ such that $v = d_1y_1 + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ for some $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Divide each k_i by d_1 .

Assume $n \geq 2$ and consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $\underline{sy_1} + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that in this case, $\{y_2, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ is also a basis of F , whence $k_2 \in S$; similarly $k_i \in S$ for $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$. Thus S contains “all possible coefficients for elements in G .” Since $G \neq 0$, $S \cap \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$ and so S contains a least positive integer d_1 . Thus, “ d_1 is the smallest possible positive coefficients for elements in G .” Since $d_1 \in S$, there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and $v \in G$ such that $v = d_1y_1 + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ for some $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For each $i = 2, \dots, n$,

Assume $n \geq 2$ and consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $\underline{sy_1} + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that in this case, $\{y_2, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ is also a basis of F , whence $k_2 \in S$; similarly $k_i \in S$ for $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$. Thus S contains “all possible coefficients for elements in G .” Since $G \neq 0$, $S \cap \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$ and so S contains a least positive integer d_1 . Thus, “ d_1 is the smallest possible positive coefficients for elements in G .” Since $d_1 \in S$, there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and $v \in G$ such that $v = d_1y_1 + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ for some $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For each $i = 2, \dots, n$, there exist $q_i, r_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k_i = q_id_1 + r_i$ and $0 \leq r_i < d_1$.

Assume $n \geq 2$ and consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $\underline{sy_1} + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that in this case, $\{y_2, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ is also a basis of F , whence $k_2 \in S$; similarly $k_i \in S$ for $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$. Thus S contains “all possible coefficients for elements in G .” Since $G \neq 0$, $S \cap \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$ and so S contains a least positive integer d_1 . Thus, “ d_1 is the smallest possible positive coefficients for elements in G .” Since $d_1 \in S$, there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and $v \in G$ such that $\underline{v = d_1y_1 + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n}$ for some $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For each $i = 2, \dots, n$, there exist $q_i, r_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k_i = q_id_1 + r_i$ and $0 \leq r_i < d_1$. Hence

$$v = d_1y_1 + (q_2d_1 + r_2)y_2 + \dots + (q_nd_1 + r_n)y_n$$

Assume $n \geq 2$ and consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $\underline{sy_1} + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that in this case, $\{y_2, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ is also a basis of F , whence $k_2 \in S$; similarly $k_i \in S$ for $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$. Thus S contains “all possible coefficients for elements in G .” Since $G \neq 0$, $S \cap \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$ and so S contains a least positive integer d_1 . Thus, “ d_1 is the smallest possible positive coefficients for elements in G .” Since $d_1 \in S$, there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and $v \in G$ such that $v = d_1y_1 + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ for some $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For each $i = 2, \dots, n$, there exist $q_i, r_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k_i = q_id_1 + r_i$ and $0 \leq r_i < d_1$. Hence

$$v = d_1y_1 + (q_2d_1 + r_2)y_2 + \dots + (q_nd_1 + r_n)y_n$$

$$= d_1(y_1 + q_2y_2 + \dots + q_ny_n) + r_2y_2 + \dots + r_ny_n.$$

Assume $n \geq 2$ and consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $\underline{sy_1} + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that in this case, $\{y_2, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ is also a basis of F , whence $k_2 \in S$; similarly $k_i \in S$ for $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$. Thus S contains “all possible coefficients for elements in G .” Since $G \neq 0$, $S \cap \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$ and so S contains a least positive integer d_1 . Thus, “ d_1 is the smallest possible positive coefficients for elements in G .” Since $d_1 \in S$, there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and $v \in G$ such that $v = d_1y_1 + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ for some $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For each $i = 2, \dots, n$, there exist $q_i, r_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k_i = q_id_1 + r_i$ and $0 \leq r_i < d_1$. Hence

$$v = d_1y_1 + (q_2d_1 + r_2)y_2 + \dots + (q_nd_1 + r_n)y_n$$

$$= d_1(y_1 + q_2y_2 + \dots + q_ny_n) + r_2y_2 + \dots + r_ny_n. \text{ Since}$$

$$\{x_1 := y_1 + q_2y_2 + \dots + q_ny_n, y_2, \dots, y_n\} \text{ is a basis of } F,$$

Assume $n \geq 2$ and consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $\underline{sy_1} + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that in this case, $\{y_2, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ is also a basis of F , whence $k_2 \in S$; similarly $k_i \in S$ for $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$. Thus S contains “all possible coefficients for elements in G .” Since $G \neq 0$, $S \cap \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$ and so S contains a least positive integer d_1 . Thus, “ d_1 is the smallest possible positive coefficients for elements in G .” Since $d_1 \in S$, there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and $v \in G$ such that $v = d_1y_1 + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ for some $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For each $i = 2, \dots, n$, there exist $q_i, r_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k_i = q_id_1 + r_i$ and $0 \leq r_i < d_1$. Hence

$$v = d_1y_1 + (q_2d_1 + r_2)y_2 + \dots + (q_nd_1 + r_n)y_n$$

$$= d_1(y_1 + q_2y_2 + \dots + q_ny_n) + r_2y_2 + \dots + r_ny_n. \text{ Since}$$

$\{x_1 := y_1 + q_2y_2 + \dots + q_ny_n, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F , by the minimality of d_1 in S ,

Assume $n \geq 2$ and consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $\underline{sy_1} + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that in this case, $\{y_2, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ is also a basis of F , whence $k_2 \in S$; similarly $k_i \in S$ for $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$. Thus S contains “all possible coefficients for elements in G .” Since $G \neq 0$, $S \cap \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$ and so S contains a least positive integer d_1 . Thus, “ d_1 is the smallest possible positive coefficients for elements in G .” Since $d_1 \in S$, there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and $v \in G$ such that $v = d_1y_1 + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ for some $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For each $i = 2, \dots, n$, there exist $q_i, r_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k_i = q_id_1 + r_i$ and $0 \leq r_i < d_1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 v &= d_1y_1 + (q_2d_1 + r_2)y_2 + \dots + (q_nd_1 + r_n)y_n \\
 &= d_1(y_1 + q_2y_2 + \dots + q_ny_n) + r_2y_2 + \dots + r_ny_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{x_1 := y_1 + q_2y_2 + \dots + q_ny_n, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F , by the minimality of d_1 in S , $r_2 = \dots = r_n = 0$,

Assume $n \geq 2$ and consider the set S which consists of all those integers s such that there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and an element in G of the form $\underline{sy_1} + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that in this case, $\{y_2, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ is also a basis of F , whence $k_2 \in S$; similarly $k_i \in S$ for $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$. Thus S contains “all possible coefficients for elements in G .” Since $G \neq 0$, $S \cap \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$ and so S contains a least positive integer d_1 . Thus, “ d_1 is the smallest possible positive coefficients for elements in G .” Since $d_1 \in S$, there exists a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of F and $v \in G$ such that $v = d_1y_1 + k_2y_2 + \dots + k_ny_n$ for some $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For each $i = 2, \dots, n$, there exist $q_i, r_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k_i = q_id_1 + r_i$ and $0 \leq r_i < d_1$. Hence

$$v = d_1y_1 + (q_2d_1 + r_2)y_2 + \dots + (q_nd_1 + r_n)y_n$$

$$= d_1(y_1 + q_2y_2 + \dots + q_ny_n) + r_2y_2 + \dots + r_ny_n. \text{ Since}$$

$\{x_1 := y_1 + q_2y_2 + \dots + q_ny_n, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F , by the minimality of d_1 in S , $r_2 = \dots = r_n = 0$, and so $d_1x_1 = v \in G$.

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$.

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$.

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$. We will show that $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$.

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$. We will show that $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. First note that $\langle d_1x_1 \rangle \cap (G \cap H)$

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$. We will show that $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. First note that $\langle d_1x_1 \rangle \cap (G \cap H) \subseteq \langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$. We will show that $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. First note that $\langle d_1x_1 \rangle \cap (G \cap H) \subseteq \langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle = \{0\}$.

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$. We will show that $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. First note that $\langle d_1x_1 \rangle \cap (G \cap H) \subseteq \langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle = \{0\}$. Moreover, it is clear that $G \supseteq \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$.

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$. We will show that $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. First note that $\langle d_1x_1 \rangle \cap (G \cap H) \subseteq \langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle = \{0\}$. Moreover, it is clear that $G \supseteq \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. On the other hand, let $u \in G$.

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$. We will show that $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. First note that $\langle d_1x_1 \rangle \cap (G \cap H) \subseteq \langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle = \{0\}$. Moreover, it is clear that $G \supseteq \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. On the other hand, let $u \in G$. Since $u \in F$, $u = t_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$.

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$. We will show that $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. First note that $\langle d_1x_1 \rangle \cap (G \cap H) \subseteq \langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle = \{0\}$. Moreover, it is clear that $G \supseteq \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. On the other hand, let $u \in G$. Since $u \in F$, $u = t_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$. For $t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist $q_1, r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $t_1 = q_1d_1 + r_1$ and $0 \leq r_1 < d_1$.

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$. We will show that $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. First note that $\langle d_1x_1 \rangle \cap (G \cap H) \subseteq \langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle = \{0\}$. Moreover, it is clear that $G \supseteq \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. On the other hand, let $u \in G$. Since $u \in F$, $u = t_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$. For $t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist $q_1, r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $t_1 = q_1d_1 + r_1$ and $0 \leq r_1 < d_1$. Then $u = (q_1d_1 + r_1)x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$. We will show that $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. First note that $\langle d_1x_1 \rangle \cap (G \cap H) \subseteq \langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle = \{0\}$. Moreover, it is clear that $G \supseteq \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. On the other hand, let $u \in G$. Since $u \in F$, $u = t_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$. For $t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist $q_1, r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $t_1 = q_1d_1 + r_1$ and $0 \leq r_1 < d_1$. Then $u = (q_1d_1 + r_1)x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$ and this implies $r_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n = u - q_1d_1x_1$

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$. We will show that $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. First note that $\langle d_1x_1 \rangle \cap (G \cap H) \subseteq \langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle = \{0\}$. Moreover, it is clear that $G \supseteq \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. On the other hand, let $u \in G$. Since $u \in F$, $u = t_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$. For $t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist $q_1, r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $t_1 = q_1d_1 + r_1$ and $0 \leq r_1 < d_1$. Then $u = (q_1d_1 + r_1)x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$ and this implies $r_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n = u - q_1d_1x_1 \in G$.

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$. We will show that $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. First note that $\langle d_1x_1 \rangle \cap (G \cap H) \subseteq \langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle = \{0\}$. Moreover, it is clear that $G \supseteq \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. On the other hand, let $u \in G$. Since $u \in F$, $u = t_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$. For $t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist $q_1, r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $t_1 = q_1d_1 + r_1$ and $0 \leq r_1 < d_1$. Then $u = (q_1d_1 + r_1)x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$ and this implies $r_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n = u - q_1d_1x_1 \in G$. By the minimality of d_1 in S ,

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$. We will show that $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. First note that $\langle d_1x_1 \rangle \cap (G \cap H) \subseteq \langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle = \{0\}$. Moreover, it is clear that $G \supseteq \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. On the other hand, let $u \in G$. Since $u \in F$, $u = t_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$. For $t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist $q_1, r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $t_1 = q_1d_1 + r_1$ and $0 \leq r_1 < d_1$. Then $u = (q_1d_1 + r_1)x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$ and this implies $r_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n = u - q_1d_1x_1 \in G$. By the minimality of d_1 in S , $r_1 = 0$

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$. We will show that $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. First note that $\langle d_1x_1 \rangle \cap (G \cap H) \subseteq \langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle = \{0\}$. Moreover, it is clear that $G \supseteq \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. On the other hand, let $u \in G$. Since $u \in F$, $u = t_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$. For $t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist $q_1, r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $t_1 = q_1d_1 + r_1$ and $0 \leq r_1 < d_1$. Then $u = (q_1d_1 + r_1)x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$ and this implies $r_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n = u - q_1d_1x_1 \in G$. By the minimality of d_1 in S , $r_1 = 0$ and so $t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n \in G$.

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$. We will show that $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. First note that $\langle d_1x_1 \rangle \cap (G \cap H) \subseteq \langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle = \{0\}$. Moreover, it is clear that $G \supseteq \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. On the other hand, let $u \in G$. Since $u \in F$, $u = t_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$. For $t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist $q_1, r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $t_1 = q_1d_1 + r_1$ and $0 \leq r_1 < d_1$. Then $u = (q_1d_1 + r_1)x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$ and this implies $r_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n = u - q_1d_1x_1 \in G$. By the minimality of d_1 in S , $r_1 = 0$ and so $t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n \in G \cap H$.

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$. We will show that $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. First note that $\langle d_1x_1 \rangle \cap (G \cap H) \subseteq \langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle = \{0\}$. Moreover, it is clear that $G \supseteq \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. On the other hand, let $u \in G$. Since $u \in F$, $u = t_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$. For $t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist $q_1, r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $t_1 = q_1d_1 + r_1$ and $0 \leq r_1 < d_1$. Then $u = (q_1d_1 + r_1)x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$ and this implies $r_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n = u - q_1d_1x_1 \in G$. By the minimality of d_1 in S , $r_1 = 0$ and so $t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n \in G \cap H$. Hence $u = q_1d_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$. We will show that $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. First note that $\langle d_1x_1 \rangle \cap (G \cap H) \subseteq \langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle = \{0\}$. Moreover, it is clear that $G \supseteq \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. On the other hand, let $u \in G$. Since $u \in F$, $u = t_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$. For $t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist $q_1, r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $t_1 = q_1d_1 + r_1$ and $0 \leq r_1 < d_1$. Then $u = (q_1d_1 + r_1)x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$ and this implies $r_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n = u - q_1d_1x_1 \in G$. By the minimality of d_1 in S , $r_1 = 0$ and so $t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n \in G \cap H$. Hence $u = q_1d_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n \in \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$.

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$. We will show that $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. First note that $\langle d_1x_1 \rangle \cap (G \cap H) \subseteq \langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle = \{0\}$. Moreover, it is clear that $G \supseteq \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. On the other hand, let $u \in G$. Since $u \in F$, $u = t_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$. For $t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist $q_1, r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $t_1 = q_1d_1 + r_1$ and $0 \leq r_1 < d_1$. Then $u = (q_1d_1 + r_1)x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$ and this implies $r_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n = u - q_1d_1x_1 \in G$. By the minimality of d_1 in S , $r_1 = 0$ and so $t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n \in G \cap H$. Hence $u = q_1d_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n \in \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. Therefore, $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$. We will show that $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. First note that $\langle d_1x_1 \rangle \cap (G \cap H) \subseteq \langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle = \{0\}$. Moreover, it is clear that $G \supseteq \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. On the other hand, let $u \in G$. Since $u \in F$, $u = t_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$. For $t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist $q_1, r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $t_1 = q_1d_1 + r_1$ and $0 \leq r_1 < d_1$. Then $u = (q_1d_1 + r_1)x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$ and this implies $r_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n = u - q_1d_1x_1 \in G$. By the minimality of d_1 in S , $r_1 = 0$ and so $t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n \in G \cap H$. Hence $u = q_1d_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n \in \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. Therefore, $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$ and whence $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$.

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$. We will show that $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. First note that $\langle d_1x_1 \rangle \cap (G \cap H) \subseteq \langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle = \{0\}$. Moreover, it is clear that $G \supseteq \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. On the other hand, let $u \in G$. Since $u \in F$, $u = t_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$. For $t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist $q_1, r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $t_1 = q_1d_1 + r_1$ and $0 \leq r_1 < d_1$. Then $u = (q_1d_1 + r_1)x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$ and this implies $r_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n = u - q_1d_1x_1 \in G$. By the minimality of d_1 in S , $r_1 = 0$ and so $t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n \in G \cap H$. Hence $u = q_1d_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n \in \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. Therefore, $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$ and whence $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. If $G \cap H = 0$,

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$. We will show that $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. First note that $\langle d_1x_1 \rangle \cap (G \cap H) \subseteq \langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle = \{0\}$. Moreover, it is clear that $G \supseteq \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. On the other hand, let $u \in G$. Since $u \in F$, $u = t_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$. For $t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist $q_1, r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $t_1 = q_1d_1 + r_1$ and $0 \leq r_1 < d_1$. Then $u = (q_1d_1 + r_1)x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$ and this implies $r_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n = u - q_1d_1x_1 \in G$. By the minimality of d_1 in S , $r_1 = 0$ and so $t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n \in G \cap H$. Hence $u = q_1d_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n \in \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. Therefore, $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$ and whence $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. If $G \cap H = 0$, then $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle$

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$. We will show that $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. First note that $\langle d_1x_1 \rangle \cap (G \cap H) \subseteq \langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle = \{0\}$. Moreover, it is clear that $G \supseteq \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. On the other hand, let $u \in G$. Since $u \in F$, $u = t_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$. For $t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist $q_1, r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $t_1 = q_1d_1 + r_1$ and $0 \leq r_1 < d_1$. Then $u = (q_1d_1 + r_1)x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$ and this implies $r_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n = u - q_1d_1x_1 \in G$. By the minimality of d_1 in S , $r_1 = 0$ and so $t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n \in G \cap H$. Hence $u = q_1d_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n \in \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. Therefore, $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$ and whence $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. If $G \cap H = 0$, then $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle$ and we're done.

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis of F and $d_1x_1 \in G$.

Let $H = \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$. Then H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$. We will show that $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. First note that $\langle d_1x_1 \rangle \cap (G \cap H) \subseteq \langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle = \{0\}$. Moreover, it is clear that $G \supseteq \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. On the other hand, let $u \in G$. Since $u \in F$, $u = t_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$. For $t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist $q_1, r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $t_1 = q_1d_1 + r_1$ and $0 \leq r_1 < d_1$. Then $u = (q_1d_1 + r_1)x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n$ and this implies $r_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n = u - q_1d_1x_1 \in G$. By the minimality of d_1 in S , $r_1 = 0$ and so $t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n \in G \cap H$. Hence $u = q_1d_1x_1 + t_2y_2 + \dots + t_ny_n \in \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$. Therefore, $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle + (G \cap H)$ and whence $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$. If $G \cap H = 0$, then $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle$ and we're done. Assume $G \cap H \neq 0$.

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Since H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Since H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$ and since $G \cap H$ is a nonzero subgroup of H ,

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Since H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$ and since $G \cap H$ is a nonzero subgroup of H , by the induction hypothesis,

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Since H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$ and since $G \cap H$ is a nonzero subgroup of H , by the induction hypothesis, there exists a basis $\{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of H ,

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Since H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$ and since $G \cap H$ is a nonzero subgroup of H , by the induction hypothesis, there exists a basis $\{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of H , an integer r with $2 \leq r \leq n$

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Since H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$ and since $G \cap H$ is a nonzero subgroup of H , by the induction hypothesis, there exists a basis $\{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of H , an integer r with $2 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_2, \dots, d_r

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Since H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$ and since $G \cap H$ is a nonzero subgroup of H , by the induction hypothesis, there exists a basis $\{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of H , an integer r with $2 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_2, \dots, d_r such that $d_2 \mid d_3 \mid \dots \mid d_r$

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Since H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$ and since $G \cap H$ is a nonzero subgroup of H , by the induction hypothesis, there exists a basis $\{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of H , an integer r with $2 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_2, \dots, d_r such that $d_2 \mid d_3 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_2x_2, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of $G \cap H$.

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Since H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$ and since $G \cap H$ is a nonzero subgroup of H , by the induction hypothesis, there exists a basis $\{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of H , an integer r with $2 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_2, \dots, d_r such that $d_2 \mid d_3 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_2x_2, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of $G \cap H$. Since $F = \langle x_1 \rangle \oplus H$,

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Since H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$ and since $G \cap H$ is a nonzero subgroup of H , by the induction hypothesis, there exists a basis $\{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of H , an integer r with $2 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_2, \dots, d_r such that $d_2 \mid d_3 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_2x_2, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of $G \cap H$. Since $F = \langle x_1 \rangle \oplus H$, $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of F ;

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Since H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$ and since $G \cap H$ is a nonzero subgroup of H , by the induction hypothesis, there exists a basis $\{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of H , an integer r with $2 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_2, \dots, d_r such that $d_2 \mid d_3 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_2x_2, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of $G \cap H$. Since $F = \langle x_1 \rangle \oplus H$, $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of F ; also, since $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$,

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Since H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$ and since $G \cap H$ is a nonzero subgroup of H , by the induction hypothesis, there exists a basis $\{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of H , an integer r with $2 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_2, \dots, d_r such that $d_2 \mid d_3 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_2x_2, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of $G \cap H$. Since $F = \langle x_1 \rangle \oplus H$, $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of F ; also, since $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$, $\{d_1x_1, d_2x_2, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Since H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$ and since $G \cap H$ is a nonzero subgroup of H , by the induction hypothesis, there exists a basis $\{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of H , an integer r with $2 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_2, \dots, d_r such that $d_2 \mid d_3 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_2x_2, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of $G \cap H$. Since $F = \langle x_1 \rangle \oplus H$, $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of F ; also, since $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$, $\{d_1x_1, d_2x_2, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G . Hence it remains to show that $d_1 \mid d_2$.

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Since H is a free abelian group of rank $n - 1$ and since $G \cap H$ is a nonzero subgroup of H , by the induction hypothesis, there exists a basis $\{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of H , an integer r with $2 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_2, \dots, d_r such that $d_2 \mid d_3 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_2x_2, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of $G \cap H$. Since $F = \langle x_1 \rangle \oplus H$, $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of F ; also, since $G = \langle d_1x_1 \rangle \oplus (G \cap H)$, $\{d_1x_1, d_2x_2, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .
Hence it remains to show that $d_1 \mid d_2$.

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of F and $\{d_1x_1, d_2x_2, \dots, d_nx_n\}$ is a basis of G with $d_2 \mid d_3 \mid \dots \mid d_n$.
- It remains to show that $d_1 \mid d_2$.

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of F and $\{d_1x_1, d_2x_2, \dots, d_nx_n\}$ is a basis of G with $d_2 \mid d_3 \mid \dots \mid d_n$.
- It remains to show that $d_1 \mid d_2$.

Let $q, r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d_2 = qd_1 + r_0$ and $0 \leq r_0 < d_1$.

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of F and $\{d_1x_1, d_2x_2, \dots, d_nx_n\}$ is a basis of G with $d_2 \mid d_3 \mid \dots \mid d_n$.
- It remains to show that $d_1 \mid d_2$.

Let $q, r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d_2 = qd_1 + r_0$ and $0 \leq r_0 < d_1$. Consider the element $d_1x_1 + d_2x_2 \in G$.

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of F and $\{d_1x_1, d_2x_2, \dots, d_nx_n\}$ is a basis of G with $d_2 \mid d_3 \mid \dots \mid d_n$.
- It remains to show that $d_1 \mid d_2$.

Let $q, r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d_2 = qd_1 + r_0$ and $0 \leq r_0 < d_1$. Consider the element $d_1x_1 + d_2x_2 \in G$. Note that

$$d_1x_1 + d_2x_2$$

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of F and $\{d_1x_1, d_2x_2, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G with $d_2 \mid d_3 \mid \dots \mid d_n$.
- It remains to show that $d_1 \mid d_2$.

Let $q, r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d_2 = qd_1 + r_0$ and $0 \leq r_0 < d_1$. Consider the element $d_1x_1 + d_2x_2 \in G$. Note that

$$d_1x_1 + d_2x_2 = d_1x_1 + (qd_1 + r_0)x_2$$

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of F and $\{d_1x_1, d_2x_2, \dots, d_nx_n\}$ is a basis of G with $d_2 \mid d_3 \mid \dots \mid d_n$.
- It remains to show that $d_1 \mid d_2$.

Let $q, r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d_2 = qd_1 + r_0$ and $0 \leq r_0 < d_1$. Consider the element $d_1x_1 + d_2x_2 \in G$. Note that

$$d_1x_1 + d_2x_2 = d_1x_1 + (qd_1 + r_0)x_2 = d_1(x_1 + qx_2) + r_0x_2$$

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of F and $\{d_1x_1, d_2x_2, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G with $d_2 \mid d_3 \mid \dots \mid d_n$.
- It remains to show that $d_1 \mid d_2$.

Let $q, r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d_2 = qd_1 + r_0$ and $0 \leq r_0 < d_1$. Consider the element $d_1x_1 + d_2x_2 \in G$. Note that

$d_1x_1 + d_2x_2 = d_1x_1 + (qd_1 + r_0)x_2 = d_1(x_1 + qx_2) + r_0x_2$ and $\{x_1 + qx_2, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of F .

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of F and $\{d_1x_1, d_2x_2, \dots, d_nx_n\}$ is a basis of G with $d_2 \mid d_3 \mid \dots \mid d_n$.
- It remains to show that $d_1 \mid d_2$.

Let $q, r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d_2 = qd_1 + r_0$ and $0 \leq r_0 < d_1$. Consider the element $d_1x_1 + d_2x_2 \in G$. Note that

$d_1x_1 + d_2x_2 = d_1x_1 + (qd_1 + r_0)x_2 = d_1(x_1 + qx_2) + r_0x_2$ and $\{x_1 + qx_2, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of F . By the minimality of d_1 in S ,

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of F and $\{d_1x_1, d_2x_2, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G with $d_2 \mid d_3 \mid \dots \mid d_n$.
- It remains to show that $d_1 \mid d_2$.

Let $q, r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d_2 = qd_1 + r_0$ and $0 \leq r_0 < d_1$. Consider the element $d_1x_1 + d_2x_2 \in G$. Note that

$d_1x_1 + d_2x_2 = d_1x_1 + (qd_1 + r_0)x_2 = d_1(x_1 + qx_2) + r_0x_2$ and $\{x_1 + qx_2, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of F . By the minimality of d_1 in S , $r_0 = 0$

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of F and $\{d_1x_1, d_2x_2, \dots, d_nx_n\}$ is a basis of G with $d_2 \mid d_3 \mid \dots \mid d_n$.
- It remains to show that $d_1 \mid d_2$.

Let $q, r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d_2 = qd_1 + r_0$ and $0 \leq r_0 < d_1$. Consider the element $d_1x_1 + d_2x_2 \in G$. Note that

$d_1x_1 + d_2x_2 = d_1x_1 + (qd_1 + r_0)x_2 = d_1(x_1 + qx_2) + r_0x_2$ and $\{x_1 + qx_2, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of F . By the minimality of d_1 in S , $r_0 = 0$ and this shows $d_1 \mid d_2$.

Proof of Theorem (1.6)

- S contains all possible coefficients for elements in G .
- d_1 is the least positive integers in S .
- $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of F and $\{d_1x_1, d_2x_2, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G with $d_2 \mid d_3 \mid \dots \mid d_n$.
- It remains to show that $d_1 \mid d_2$.

Let $q, r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d_2 = qd_1 + r_0$ and $0 \leq r_0 < d_1$. Consider the element $d_1x_1 + d_2x_2 \in G$. Note that

$d_1x_1 + d_2x_2 = d_1x_1 + (qd_1 + r_0)x_2 = d_1(x_1 + qx_2) + r_0x_2$ and $\{x_1 + qx_2, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of F . By the minimality of d_1 in S , $r_0 = 0$ and this shows $d_1 \mid d_2$. The proof is finally complete.

Exercise for Section II.1

1, 2, 6, 7, 9, 10.

Chapter II

THE STRUCTURE OF GROUPS

Chapter II

THE STRUCTURE OF GROUPS

Section II.2: Finitely Generated Abelian Groups

Chapter II

THE STRUCTURE OF GROUPS

Section II.2: Finitely Generated Abelian Groups

Remark. In this section, we will give a proof for the Fundamental Theorem of finitely generated abelian groups.

Chapter II

THE STRUCTURE OF GROUPS

Section II.2: Finitely Generated Abelian Groups

Remark. In this section, we will give a proof for the Fundamental Theorem of finitely generated abelian groups. Through out this section, we will again use additive notation.

Chapter II

THE STRUCTURE OF GROUPS

Section II.2: Finitely Generated Abelian Groups

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G

Chapter II

THE STRUCTURE OF GROUPS

Section II.2: Finitely Generated Abelian Groups

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups

Chapter II

THE STRUCTURE OF GROUPS

Section II.2: Finitely Generated Abelian Groups

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any)

Chapter II

THE STRUCTURE OF GROUPS

Section II.2: Finitely Generated Abelian Groups

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t ,

Chapter II

THE STRUCTURE OF GROUPS

Section II.2: Finitely Generated Abelian Groups

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Chapter II

THE STRUCTURE OF GROUPS

Section II.2: Finitely Generated Abelian Groups

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$. In other words,

Chapter II

THE STRUCTURE OF GROUPS

Section II.2: Finitely Generated Abelian Groups

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$. In other words, every finitely generated abelian group is of the form

Chapter II

THE STRUCTURE OF GROUPS

Section II.2: Finitely Generated Abelian Groups

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$. In other words, every finitely generated abelian group is of the form

$$\mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{\text{finite summands}}$$

Chapter II

THE STRUCTURE OF GROUPS

Section II.2: Finitely Generated Abelian Groups

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$. In other words, every finitely generated abelian group is of the form

$$\mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{\text{finite summands}}$$

with $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$,

Chapter II

THE STRUCTURE OF GROUPS

Section II.2: Finitely Generated Abelian Groups

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$. In other words, every finitely generated abelian group is of the form

$$\mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{\text{finite summands}}$$

with $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$, up to isomorphism.

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any)

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t ,

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. If $G = 0$,

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. If $G = 0$, nothing needs to be proved.

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements.

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4)

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4)

Theorem (1.4). Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group.

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4)

Theorem (1.4). Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G ,

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4)

Theorem (1.4). Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n .

Theorem (1.4). Every abelian group G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group. More precisely, if X is a set of generators of the abelian group G , then G is a homomorphic image of a free abelian group of rank $|X|$.

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n .

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Consider $\text{Ker } \pi$.

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Consider $\text{Ker } \pi$. If $\text{Ker } \pi = 0$,

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Consider $\text{Ker } \pi$. If $\text{Ker } \pi = 0$, then π is an isomorphism

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Consider $\text{Ker } \pi$. If $\text{Ker } \pi = 0$, then π is an isomorphism and so $G \cong F$

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Consider $\text{Ker } \pi$. If $\text{Ker } \pi = 0$, then π is an isomorphism and so $G \cong F \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{n \text{ summands}}$

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Consider $\text{Ker } \pi$. If $\text{Ker } \pi = 0$, then π is an isomorphism and so $G \cong F \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{n \text{ summands}}$ and

we're done.

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Consider $\text{Ker } \pi$. If $\text{Ker } \pi = 0$, then π is an isomorphism and so $G \cong F \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{n \text{ summands}}$ and we're done. Assume $\text{Ker } \pi \neq 0$.

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Assume $\text{Ker } \pi \neq 0$.

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Assume $\text{Ker } \pi \neq 0$. Then $\text{Ker } \pi$ is a nonzero subgroup of the free abelian group F .

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Assume $\text{Ker } \pi \neq 0$. Then $\text{Ker } \pi$ is a nonzero subgroup of the free abelian group F . By Theorem (1.6),

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Assume $\text{Ker } \pi \neq 0$. Then $\text{Ker } \pi$ is a nonzero subgroup of the free abelian group F . By Theorem (1.6),

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Assume $\text{Ker } \pi \neq 0$. Then $\text{Ker } \pi$ is a nonzero subgroup of the free abelian group F . By Theorem (1.6),

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F ,

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Assume $\text{Ker } \pi \neq 0$. Then $\text{Ker } \pi$ is a nonzero subgroup of the free abelian group F . By Theorem (1.6),

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F ,

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Assume $\text{Ker } \pi \neq 0$. Then $\text{Ker } \pi$ is a nonzero subgroup of the free abelian group F . By Theorem (1.6),

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Assume $\text{Ker } \pi \neq 0$. Then $\text{Ker } \pi$ is a nonzero subgroup of the free abelian group F . By Theorem (1.6),

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Assume $\text{Ker } \pi \neq 0$. Then $\text{Ker } \pi$ is a nonzero subgroup of the free abelian group F . By Theorem (1.6),

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Assume $\text{Ker } \pi \neq 0$. Then $\text{Ker } \pi$ is a nonzero subgroup of the free abelian group F . By Theorem (1.6),

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Assume $\text{Ker } \pi \neq 0$. Then $\text{Ker } \pi$ is a nonzero subgroup of the free abelian group F . By Theorem (1.6), there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Assume $\text{Ker } \pi \neq 0$. Then $\text{Ker } \pi$ is a nonzero subgroup of the free abelian group F . By Theorem (1.6), there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Assume $\text{Ker } \pi \neq 0$. Then $\text{Ker } \pi$ is a nonzero subgroup of the free abelian group F . By Theorem (1.6), there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $1 \leq r \leq n$, $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Assume $\text{Ker } \pi \neq 0$. Then $\text{Ker } \pi$ is a nonzero subgroup of the free abelian group F . By Theorem (1.6), there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $1 \leq r \leq n$, $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of $\text{Ker } \pi$.

Theorem (1.6). If F is a free abelian group of finite rank n and if G is a nonzero subgroup of F , then there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F , an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq n$ and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of G .

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Assume $\text{Ker } \pi \neq 0$. Then $\text{Ker } \pi$ is a nonzero subgroup of the free abelian group F . By Theorem (1.6), there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $1 \leq r \leq n$, $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of $\text{Ker } \pi$.

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Assume $\text{Ker } \pi \neq 0$. Then $\text{Ker } \pi$ is a nonzero subgroup of the free abelian group F . By Theorem (1.6), there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $1 \leq r \leq n$, $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of $\text{Ker } \pi$. If we let $d_i = 0$ for all $r < i \leq n$,

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Assume $\text{Ker } \pi \neq 0$. Then $\text{Ker } \pi$ is a nonzero subgroup of the free abelian group F . By Theorem (1.6), there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $1 \leq r \leq n$, $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of $\text{Ker } \pi$. If we let $d_i = 0$ for all $r < i \leq n$, then

$$G \cong F / \text{Ker } \pi$$

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Assume $\text{Ker } \pi \neq 0$. Then $\text{Ker } \pi$ is a nonzero subgroup of the free abelian group F . By Theorem (1.6), there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $1 \leq r \leq n$, $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of $\text{Ker } \pi$. If we let $d_i = 0$ for all $r < i \leq n$, then

$$G \cong F / \text{Ker } \pi \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \sum_{i=1}^n \langle d_i x_i \rangle$$

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Assume $\text{Ker } \pi \neq 0$. Then $\text{Ker } \pi$ is a nonzero subgroup of the free abelian group F . By Theorem (1.6), there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $1 \leq r \leq n$, $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of $\text{Ker } \pi$. If we let $d_i = 0$ for all $r < i \leq n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} G &\cong F / \text{Ker } \pi \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \sum_{i=1}^n \langle d_i x_i \rangle \\ &\cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \langle d_i x_i \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof. Assume $G \neq 0$ and G is generated by n elements. By Theorem (1.4) there is a group epimorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ with F a free abelian group of rank n . Assume $\text{Ker } \pi \neq 0$. Then $\text{Ker } \pi$ is a nonzero subgroup of the free abelian group F . By Theorem (1.6), there exists a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $1 \leq r \leq n$, $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$ and $\{d_1x_1, \dots, d_rx_r\}$ is a basis of $\text{Ker } \pi$. If we let $d_i = 0$ for all $r < i \leq n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} G &\cong F / \text{Ker } \pi \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \sum_{i=1}^n \langle d_i x_i \rangle \\ &\cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \langle d_i x_i \rangle \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} G &\cong F / \text{Ker } \pi \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \sum_{i=1}^n \langle d_i x_i \rangle \\ &\cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \langle d_i x_i \rangle \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof.
$$G \cong F / \text{Ker } \pi \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \sum_{i=1}^n \langle d_i x_i \rangle$$
$$\cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \langle d_i x_i \rangle \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z}.$$

- If $d_i = 1$,

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof.
$$G \cong F / \text{Ker } \pi \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \sum_{i=1}^n \langle d_i x_i \rangle$$
$$\cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \langle d_i x_i \rangle \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z}.$$

- If $d_i = 1$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z} = 0$;

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof.
$$G \cong F / \text{Ker } \pi \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \sum_{i=1}^n \langle d_i x_i \rangle$$
$$\cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \langle d_i x_i \rangle \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z}.$$

- If $d_i = 1$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z} = 0$;
- if $d_i = 0$,

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof.
$$G \cong F / \text{Ker } \pi \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \sum_{i=1}^n \langle d_i x_i \rangle$$
$$\cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \langle d_i x_i \rangle \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z}.$$

- If $d_i = 1$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z} = 0$;
- if $d_i = 0$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} / 0 \cong \mathbb{Z}$;

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof.
$$G \cong F / \text{Ker } \pi \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \sum_{i=1}^n \langle d_i x_i \rangle$$
$$\cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \langle d_i x_i \rangle \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z}.$$

- If $d_i = 1$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z} = 0$;
- if $d_i = 0$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} / 0 \cong \mathbb{Z}$;
- if $d_i > 1$,

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof.
$$G \cong F / \text{Ker } \pi \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \sum_{i=1}^n \langle d_i x_i \rangle$$
$$\cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \langle d_i x_i \rangle \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z}.$$

- If $d_i = 1$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z} = 0$;
- if $d_i = 0$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} / 0 \cong \mathbb{Z}$;
- if $d_i > 1$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{d_i}$.

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof.
$$G \cong F / \text{Ker } \pi \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \sum_{i=1}^n \langle d_i x_i \rangle$$
$$\cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \langle d_i x_i \rangle \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z}.$$

- If $d_i = 1$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z} = 0$;
- if $d_i = 0$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} / 0 \cong \mathbb{Z}$;
- if $d_i > 1$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{d_i}$.

Let m_1, \dots, m_t be those d_i , in order, such that $d_i > 1$

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof.

$$G \cong F / \text{Ker } \pi \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \sum_{i=1}^n \langle d_i x_i \rangle$$

$$\cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \langle d_i x_i \rangle \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z}.$$

- If $d_i = 1$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z} = 0$;
- if $d_i = 0$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} / 0 \cong \mathbb{Z}$;
- if $d_i > 1$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{d_i}$.

Let m_1, \dots, m_t be those d_i , in order, such that $d_i > 1$ and let s be the number of d_i such that $d_i = 0$.

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof.
$$G \cong F / \text{Ker } \pi \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \sum_{i=1}^n \langle d_i x_i \rangle$$

$$\cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \langle d_i x_i \rangle \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z}.$$

- If $d_i = 1$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z} = 0$;
- if $d_i = 0$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} / 0 \cong \mathbb{Z}$;
- if $d_i > 1$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{d_i}$.

Let m_1, \dots, m_t be those d_i , in order, such that $d_i > 1$ and let s be the number of d_i such that $d_i = 0$. Then

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{s \text{ summands}},$$

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof.
$$G \cong F / \text{Ker } \pi \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \sum_{i=1}^n \langle d_i x_i \rangle$$

$$\cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \langle d_i x_i \rangle \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z}.$$

- If $d_i = 1$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z} = 0$;
- if $d_i = 0$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} / 0 \cong \mathbb{Z}$;
- if $d_i > 1$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{d_i}$.

Let m_1, \dots, m_t be those d_i , in order, such that $d_i > 1$ and let s be the number of d_i such that $d_i = 0$. Then

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{s \text{ summands}},$$

where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$ (because $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$).

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Proof.

$$G \cong F / \text{Ker } \pi \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \sum_{i=1}^n \langle d_i x_i \rangle$$

$$\cong \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \rangle / \langle d_i x_i \rangle \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z}.$$

- If $d_i = 1$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z} = 0$;
- if $d_i = 0$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} / 0 \cong \mathbb{Z}$;
- if $d_i > 1$, then $\mathbb{Z} / d_i \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{d_i}$.

Let m_1, \dots, m_t be those d_i , in order, such that $d_i > 1$ and let s be the number of d_i such that $d_i = 0$. Then

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{s \text{ summands}},$$

where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$ (because $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_r$).

The proof is complete.

Remark

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Remark

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Remark. Loosely speaking,

Remark

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Remark. Loosely speaking, Theorem (2.1) tells us that if G is a finitely generated abelian group,

Remark

Theorem (2.1). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups in which the finite cyclic summands (if any) are of orders m_1, \dots, m_t , where $m_1 > 1$ and $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Remark. Loosely speaking, Theorem (2.1) tells us that if G is a finitely generated abelian group, then

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{s \text{ summands}}.$$

with $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_t$.

Theorem (2.2)

Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups,

Theorem (2.2)

Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups, each of which is either infinite or of order a power of a prime.

Theorem (2.2)

Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups, each of which is either infinite or of order a power of a prime.

Remark. Loosely speaking,

Theorem (2.2)

Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups, each of which is either infinite or of order a power of a prime.

Remark. Loosely speaking, Theorem (2.2) tells us that if G is a finitely generated abelian group,

Theorem (2.2)

Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups, each of which is either infinite or of order a power of a prime.

Remark. Loosely speaking, Theorem (2.2) tells us that if G is a finitely generated abelian group, then

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_t^{n_t}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{s \text{ summands}}.$$

with p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t primes (not necessarily distinct) and $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem (2.2)

Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups, each of which is either infinite or of order a power of a prime.

Remark. Loosely speaking, Theorem (2.2) tells us that if G is a finitely generated abelian group, then

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_t^{n_t}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{s \text{ summands}}.$$

with p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t primes (not necessarily distinct) and $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t \in \mathbb{N}$.

The key of proving Theorem (2.2) is Theorem (2.1) and the following Lemma.

Theorem (2.2)

Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups, each of which is either infinite or of order a power of a prime.

Remark. Loosely speaking, Theorem (2.2) tells us that if G is a finitely generated abelian group, then

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_t^{n_t}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{s \text{ summands}}.$$

with p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t primes (not necessarily distinct) and $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma. Suppose $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ are coprime.

Theorem (2.2)

Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups, each of which is either infinite or of order a power of a prime.

Remark. Loosely speaking, Theorem (2.2) tells us that if G is a finitely generated abelian group, then

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_t^{n_t}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{s \text{ summands}}.$$

with p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t primes (not necessarily distinct) and $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma. Suppose $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ are coprime. Then $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Lemma

Suppose $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ are coprime. Then $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Lemma

Suppose $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ are coprime. Then $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Proof. It's enough to show that $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ is cyclic of order rs .

Lemma

Suppose $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ are coprime. Then $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Proof. It's enough to show that $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ is cyclic of order rs . We will show that $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ has order rs .

Lemma

Suppose $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ are coprime. Then $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Proof. It's enough to show that $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ is cyclic of order rs . We will show that $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ has order rs . Note that for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\ell(1, 1) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$$

Lemma

Suppose $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ are coprime. Then $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Proof. It's enough to show that $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ is cyclic of order rs . We will show that $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ has order rs . Note that for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\ell(1, 1) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \iff (\ell, \ell) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$$

Lemma

Suppose $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ are coprime. Then $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Proof. It's enough to show that $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ is cyclic of order rs . We will show that $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ has order rs . Note that for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(1, 1) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s &\iff (\ell, \ell) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \\ &\iff r \mid \ell \text{ and } s \mid \ell \end{aligned}$$

Lemma

Suppose $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ are coprime. Then $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Proof. It's enough to show that $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ is cyclic of order rs . We will show that $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ has order rs . Note that for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(1, 1) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s &\iff (\ell, \ell) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \\ &\iff r \mid \ell \text{ and } s \mid \ell \\ &\iff rs \mid \ell. \end{aligned}$$


because r and s are coprime

Lemma

Suppose $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ are coprime. Then $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Proof. It's enough to show that $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ is cyclic of order rs . We will show that $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ has order rs . Note that for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(1, 1) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s &\iff (\ell, \ell) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \\ &\iff r \mid \ell \text{ and } s \mid \ell \\ &\iff rs \mid \ell. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(1, 1)$ has order rs ;

Lemma

Suppose $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ are coprime. Then $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Proof. It's enough to show that $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ is cyclic of order rs . We will show that $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ has order rs . Note that for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(1, 1) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s &\iff (\ell, \ell) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \\ &\iff r \mid \ell \text{ and } s \mid \ell \\ &\iff rs \mid \ell. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(1, 1)$ has order rs ; in other words, $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s = \langle (1, 1) \rangle$ is cyclic of order rs .

Lemma

Suppose $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ are coprime. Then $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Proof. It's enough to show that $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ is cyclic of order rs . We will show that $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ has order rs . Note that for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(1, 1) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s &\iff (\ell, \ell) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \\ &\iff r \mid \ell \text{ and } s \mid \ell \\ &\iff rs \mid \ell. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(1, 1)$ has order rs ; in other words, $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s = \langle (1, 1) \rangle$ is cyclic of order rs . Therefore, $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Lemma

Suppose $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ are coprime. Then $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Proof. It's enough to show that $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ is cyclic of order rs . We will show that $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ has order rs . Note that for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(1, 1) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s &\iff (\ell, \ell) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \\ &\iff r \mid \ell \text{ and } s \mid \ell \\ &\iff rs \mid \ell. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(1, 1)$ has order rs ; in other words, $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s = \langle (1, 1) \rangle$ is cyclic of order rs . Therefore, $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Using this lemma, we can see the following lemma very easily.

Lemma

Suppose $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ are coprime. Then $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Proof. It's enough to show that $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ is cyclic of order rs . We will show that $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ has order rs . Note that for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(1, 1) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s &\iff (\ell, \ell) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \\ &\iff r \mid \ell \text{ and } s \mid \ell \\ &\iff rs \mid \ell. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(1, 1)$ has order rs ; in other words, $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s = \langle (1, 1) \rangle$ is cyclic of order rs . Therefore, $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Using this lemma, we can see the following lemma very easily.

Lemma (2.3). If m is a positive integer and $m = p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_t^{n_t}$ with p_1, \dots, p_t distinct primes and $n_1, \dots, n_t \in \mathbb{N}$,

Lemma

Suppose $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ are coprime. Then $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Proof. It's enough to show that $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ is cyclic of order rs . We will show that $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ has order rs . Note that for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(1, 1) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s &\iff (\ell, \ell) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \\ &\iff r \mid \ell \text{ and } s \mid \ell \\ &\iff rs \mid \ell. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(1, 1)$ has order rs ; in other words, $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s = \langle (1, 1) \rangle$ is cyclic of order rs . Therefore, $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Using this lemma, we can see the following lemma very easily.

Lemma (2.3). If m is a positive integer and $m = p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_t^{n_t}$ with p_1, \dots, p_t distinct primes and $n_1, \dots, n_t \in \mathbb{N}$,

Lemma

Suppose $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ are coprime. Then $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Proof. It's enough to show that $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ is cyclic of order rs . We will show that $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ has order rs . Note that for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(1, 1) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s &\iff (\ell, \ell) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \\ &\iff r \mid \ell \text{ and } s \mid \ell \\ &\iff rs \mid \ell. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(1, 1)$ has order rs ; in other words, $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s = \langle (1, 1) \rangle$ is cyclic of order rs . Therefore, $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Using this lemma, we can see the following lemma very easily.

Lemma (2.3). If m is a positive integer and $m = p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_t^{n_t}$ with p_1, \dots, p_t distinct primes and $n_1, \dots, n_t \in \mathbb{N}$,

Lemma

Suppose $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ are coprime. Then $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Proof. It's enough to show that $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ is cyclic of order rs . We will show that $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ has order rs . Note that for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(1, 1) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s &\iff (\ell, \ell) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \\ &\iff r \mid \ell \text{ and } s \mid \ell \\ &\iff rs \mid \ell. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(1, 1)$ has order rs ; in other words, $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s = \langle (1, 1) \rangle$ is cyclic of order rs . Therefore, $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Using this lemma, we can see the following lemma very easily.

Lemma (2.3). If m is a positive integer and $m = p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_t^{n_t}$ with p_1, \dots, p_t distinct primes and $n_1, \dots, n_t \in \mathbb{N}$,

Lemma

Suppose $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ are coprime. Then $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Proof. It's enough to show that $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ is cyclic of order rs . We will show that $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s$ has order rs . Note that for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(1, 1) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s &\iff (\ell, \ell) = (0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \\ &\iff r \mid \ell \text{ and } s \mid \ell \\ &\iff rs \mid \ell. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(1, 1)$ has order rs ; in other words, $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s = \langle (1, 1) \rangle$ is cyclic of order rs . Therefore, $\mathbb{Z}_r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_s \cong \mathbb{Z}_{rs}$.

Using this lemma, we can see the following lemma very easily.

Lemma (2.3). If m is a positive integer and $m = p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_t^{n_t}$ with p_1, \dots, p_t distinct primes and $n_1, \dots, n_t \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\mathbb{Z}_m \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_t^{n_t}}.$$

Proof of Theorem (2.2)

Proof of Theorem (2.2)

By Theorem (2.1), we see that every finitely generated abelian group G is of the form

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{s \text{ summands}}.$$

with $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \cdots \mid m_t$.

Proof of Theorem (2.2)

By Theorem (2.1), we see that every finitely generated abelian group G is of the form

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{s \text{ summands}}.$$

with $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \cdots \mid m_t$. Now apply Lemma (2.3) to each \mathbb{Z}_{m_i} ,

Proof of Theorem (2.2)

By Theorem (2.1), we see that every finitely generated abelian group G is of the form

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{s \text{ summands}}.$$

with $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \cdots \mid m_t$. Now apply Lemma (2.3) to each \mathbb{Z}_{m_i} , then we get

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_t^{n_t}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{s \text{ summands}}$$

with p_1, p_2, \dots, p_ℓ primes (not necessarily distinct) and $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof of Theorem (2.2)

By Theorem (2.1), we see that every finitely generated abelian group G is of the form

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{s \text{ summands}}.$$

with $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \cdots \mid m_t$. Now apply Lemma (2.3) to each \mathbb{Z}_{m_i} , then we get

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_t^{n_t}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{s \text{ summands}}$$

with p_1, p_2, \dots, p_ℓ primes (not necessarily distinct) and $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. This shows

Theorem (2.2). Every finitely generated abelian group G is (isomorphic to) a finite direct sum of cyclic groups, each of which is either infinite or of order a power of a prime.

Remark

Remark

- Lagrange's Theorem tells us that if G is a finite group and if H is a subgroup of G ,

Remark

- Lagrange's Theorem tells us that if G is a finite group and if H is a subgroup of G , then $|H| \mid |G|$.

Remark

- Lagrange's Theorem tells us that if G is a finite group and if H is a subgroup of G , then $|H| \mid |G|$.
- In general, for a finite group G , if $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is a divisor of $|G|$,

Remark

- Lagrange's Theorem tells us that if G is a finite group and if H is a subgroup of G , then $|H| \mid |G|$.
- In general, for a finite group G , if $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is a divisor of $|G|$, i.e., $m \mid |G|$,

Remark

- Lagrange's Theorem tells us that if G is a finite group and if H is a subgroup of G , then $|H| \mid |G|$.
- In general, for a finite group G , if $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is a divisor of $|G|$, i.e., $m \mid |G|$, there might **NOT** exist a subgroup H of G with $|H| = m$.

Remark

- Lagrange's Theorem tells us that if G is a finite group and if H is a subgroup of G , then $|H| \mid |G|$.
- In general, for a finite group G , if $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is a divisor of $|G|$, i.e., $m \mid |G|$, there might **NOT** exist a subgroup H of G with $|H| = m$.
- However, if $G = \langle a \rangle$ is cyclic of order n ,

Remark

- Lagrange's Theorem tells us that if G is a finite group and if H is a subgroup of G , then $|H| \mid |G|$.
- In general, for a finite group G , if $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is a divisor of $|G|$, i.e., $m \mid |G|$, there might **NOT** exist a subgroup H of G with $|H| = m$.
- However, if $G = \langle a \rangle$ is cyclic of order n , then $H = \langle a^{\frac{n}{m}} \rangle$ is a subgroup of order m .

Remark

- Lagrange's Theorem tells us that if G is a finite group and if H is a subgroup of G , then $|H| \mid |G|$.
- In general, for a finite group G , if $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is a divisor of $|G|$, i.e., $m \mid |G|$, there might **NOT** exist a subgroup H of G with $|H| = m$.
- However, if $G = \langle a \rangle$ is cyclic of order n , then $H = \langle a^{\frac{n}{m}} \rangle$ is a subgroup of order m .

Combining this fact with Theorem (2.1),

Remark

- Lagrange's Theorem tells us that if G is a finite group and if H is a subgroup of G , then $|H| \mid |G|$.
- In general, for a finite group G , if $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is a divisor of $|G|$, i.e., $m \mid |G|$, there might **NOT** exist a subgroup H of G with $|H| = m$.
- However, if $G = \langle a \rangle$ is cyclic of order n , then $H = \langle a^{\frac{n}{m}} \rangle$ is a subgroup of order m .

Combining this fact with Theorem (2.1), we have the following corollary.

Remark

- Lagrange's Theorem tells us that if G is a finite group and if H is a subgroup of G , then $|H| \mid |G|$.
- In general, for a finite group G , if $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is a divisor of $|G|$, i.e., $m \mid |G|$, there might **NOT** exist a subgroup H of G with $|H| = m$.
- However, if $G = \langle a \rangle$ is cyclic of order n , then $H = \langle a^{\frac{n}{m}} \rangle$ is a subgroup of order m .

Combining this fact with Theorem (2.1), we have the following corollary.

Corollary (2.4). If G is a finite abelian group of order n ,

Remark

- Lagrange's Theorem tells us that if G is a finite group and if H is a subgroup of G , then $|H| \mid |G|$.
- In general, for a finite group G , if $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is a divisor of $|G|$, i.e., $m \mid |G|$, there might **NOT** exist a subgroup H of G with $|H| = m$.
- However, if $G = \langle a \rangle$ is cyclic of order n , then $H = \langle a^{\frac{n}{m}} \rangle$ is a subgroup of order m .

Combining this fact with Theorem (2.1), we have the following corollary.

Corollary (2.4). If G is a finite abelian group of order n , then G has a subgroup of order m for every positive integer m that divides n .

Corollary (2.4)

If G is a finite abelian group of order n , then G has a subgroup of order m for every positive integer m that divides n .

Corollary (2.4)

If G is a finite abelian group of order n , then G has a subgroup of order m for every positive integer m that divides n .

Proof. Since G is a finite abelian group of order n ,

Corollary (2.4)

If G is a finite abelian group of order n , then G has a subgroup of order m for every positive integer m that divides n .

Proof. Since G is a finite abelian group of order n , by Theorem (2.1), $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t}$

Corollary (2.4)

If G is a finite abelian group of order n , then G has a subgroup of order m for every positive integer m that divides n .

Proof. Since G is a finite abelian group of order n , by Theorem (2.1), $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t}$ with $m_1 m_2 \cdots m_t = n$.

Corollary (2.4)

If G is a finite abelian group of order n , then G has a subgroup of order m for every positive integer m that divides n .

Proof. Since G is a finite abelian group of order n , by Theorem (2.1), $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t}$ with $m_1 m_2 \cdots m_t = n$. Since $m \mid n$,

Corollary (2.4)

If G is a finite abelian group of order n , then G has a subgroup of order m for every positive integer m that divides n .

Proof. Since G is a finite abelian group of order n , by Theorem (2.1), $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t}$ with $m_1 m_2 \cdots m_t = n$. Since $m \mid n$, $m = l_1 l_2 \cdots l_t$ for some $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $l_i \mid m_i$.

Corollary (2.4)

If G is a finite abelian group of order n , then G has a subgroup of order m for every positive integer m that divides n .

Proof. Since G is a finite abelian group of order n , by Theorem (2.1), $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t}$ with $m_1 m_2 \cdots m_t = n$. Since $m \mid n$, $m = l_1 l_2 \cdots l_t$ for some $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $l_i \mid m_i$. For each i ,

Corollary (2.4)

If G is a finite abelian group of order n , then G has a subgroup of order m for every positive integer m that divides n .

Proof. Since G is a finite abelian group of order n , by Theorem (2.1), $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t}$ with $m_1 m_2 \cdots m_t = n$. Since $m \mid n$, $m = l_1 l_2 \cdots l_t$ for some $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $l_i \mid m_i$. For each i , $H_i := \langle \frac{m_i}{l_i} \rangle$ is a subgroup of order l_i in \mathbb{Z}_{m_i} .

Corollary (2.4)

If G is a finite abelian group of order n , then G has a subgroup of order m for every positive integer m that divides n .

Proof. Since G is a finite abelian group of order n , by Theorem (2.1), $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t}$ with $m_1 m_2 \cdots m_t = n$. Since $m \mid n$, $m = \ell_1 \ell_2 \cdots \ell_t$ for some $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell_i \mid m_i$. For each i , $H_i := \langle \frac{m_i}{\ell_i} \rangle$ is a subgroup of order ℓ_i in \mathbb{Z}_{m_i} . Hence, $H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus H_t$ is a subgroup of order m in $\mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t}$.

Corollary (2.4)

If G is a finite abelian group of order n , then G has a subgroup of order m for every positive integer m that divides n .

Proof. Since G is a finite abelian group of order n , by Theorem (2.1), $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t}$ with $m_1 m_2 \cdots m_t = n$. Since $m \mid n$, $m = \ell_1 \ell_2 \cdots \ell_t$ for some $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell_i \mid m_i$. For each i , $H_i := \langle \frac{m_i}{\ell_i} \rangle$ is a subgroup of order ℓ_i in \mathbb{Z}_{m_i} . Hence, $H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus H_t$ is a subgroup of order m in $\mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t}$. Therefore, G also has a subgroup of order m .

Remark

In Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), we have seen that every finitely generated abelian group G can be decomposed as the direct sums of cyclic groups of certain orders;

Remark

In Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), we have seen that every finitely generated abelian group G can be decomposed as the direct sums of cyclic groups of certain orders;

Remark

In Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), we have seen that every finitely generated abelian group G can be decomposed as the direct sums of cyclic groups of certain orders;

Remark

In Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), we have seen that every finitely generated abelian group G can be decomposed as the direct sums of cyclic groups of certain orders; loosely Speaking,

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.1)}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_\ell^{n_\ell}} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.2)}$$

with $m_1 > 1$, $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \cdots \mid m_t$, p_1, \dots, p_ℓ primes (not necessarily distinct), and $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark

In Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), we have seen that every finitely generated abelian group G can be decomposed as the direct sums of cyclic groups of certain orders; loosely Speaking,

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.1)}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_\ell^{n_\ell}} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.2)}$$

with $m_1 > 1$, $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \cdots \mid m_t$, p_1, \dots, p_ℓ primes (not necessarily distinct), and $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark

In Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), we have seen that every finitely generated abelian group G can be decomposed as the direct sums of cyclic groups of certain orders; loosely Speaking,

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.1)}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_\ell^{n_\ell}} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.2)}$$

with $m_1 > 1$, $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \cdots \mid m_t$, p_1, \dots, p_ℓ primes (not necessarily distinct), and $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark

In Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), we have seen that every finitely generated abelian group G can be decomposed as the direct sums of cyclic groups of certain orders; loosely Speaking,

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.1)}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_\ell^{n_\ell}} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.2)}$$

with $m_1 > 1$, $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \cdots \mid m_t$, p_1, \dots, p_ℓ primes (not necessarily distinct), and $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark

In Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), we have seen that every finitely generated abelian group G can be decomposed as the direct sums of cyclic groups of certain orders; loosely Speaking,

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.1)}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_\ell^{n_\ell}} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.2)}$$

with $m_1 > 1$, $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \cdots \mid m_t$, p_1, \dots, p_ℓ primes (not necessarily distinct), and $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark

In Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), we have seen that every finitely generated abelian group G can be decomposed as the direct sums of cyclic groups of certain orders; loosely Speaking,

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.1)}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_\ell^{n_\ell}} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.2)}$$

with $m_1 > 1$, $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \cdots \mid m_t$, p_1, \dots, p_ℓ primes (not necessarily distinct), and $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark

In Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), we have seen that every finitely generated abelian group G can be decomposed as the direct sums of cyclic groups of certain orders; loosely Speaking,

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.1)}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_\ell^{n_\ell}} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.2)}$$

with $m_1 > 1$, $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \cdots \mid m_t$, p_1, \dots, p_ℓ primes (not necessarily distinct), and $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark

In Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), we have seen that every finitely generated abelian group G can be decomposed as the direct sums of cyclic groups of certain orders; loosely Speaking,

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.1)}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_\ell^{n_\ell}} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.2)}$$

with $m_1 > 1$, $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \cdots \mid m_t$, p_1, \dots, p_ℓ primes (not necessarily distinct), and $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark

In Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), we have seen that every finitely generated abelian group G can be decomposed as the direct sums of cyclic groups of certain orders; loosely Speaking,

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.1)}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_\ell^{n_\ell}} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.2)}$$

with $m_1 > 1$, $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \cdots \mid m_t$, p_1, \dots, p_ℓ primes (not necessarily distinct), and $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark

In Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), we have seen that every finitely generated abelian group G can be decomposed as the direct sums of cyclic groups of certain orders; loosely Speaking,

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.1)}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_\ell^{n_\ell}} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.2)}$$

with $m_1 > 1$, $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \cdots \mid m_t$, p_1, \dots, p_ℓ primes (not necessarily distinct), and $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark

In Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), we have seen that every finitely generated abelian group G can be decomposed as the direct sums of cyclic groups of certain orders; loosely Speaking,

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.1)}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_\ell^{n_\ell}} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.2)}$$

with $m_1 > 1$, $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \cdots \mid m_t$, p_1, \dots, p_ℓ primes (not necessarily distinct), and $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark

In Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), we have seen that every finitely generated abelian group G can be decomposed as the direct sums of cyclic groups of certain orders; loosely Speaking,

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.1)}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_\ell^{n_\ell}} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.2)}$$

with $m_1 > 1$, $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \cdots \mid m_t$, p_1, \dots, p_ℓ primes (not necessarily distinct), and $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

In Theorem (2.6), we will show that the orders of the cyclic summands in the decompositions of Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), i.e., those $m_i, p_j^{n_j}$, are in fact uniquely determined by the group G .

Remark

In Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), we have seen that every finitely generated abelian group G can be decomposed as the direct sums of cyclic groups of certain orders; loosely Speaking,

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.1)}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_\ell^{n_\ell}} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.2)}$$

with $m_1 > 1$, $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \cdots \mid m_t$, p_1, \dots, p_ℓ primes (not necessarily distinct), and $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

In Theorem (2.6), we will show that the orders of the cyclic summands in the decompositions of Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), i.e., those $m_i, p_j^{n_j}$, are in fact uniquely determined by the group G .

Remark

In Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), we have seen that every finitely generated abelian group G can be decomposed as the direct sums of cyclic groups of certain orders; loosely Speaking,

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.1)}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_\ell^{n_\ell}} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.2)}$$

with $m_1 > 1$, $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \cdots \mid m_t$, p_1, \dots, p_ℓ primes (not necessarily distinct), and $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

In Theorem (2.6), we will show that the orders of the cyclic summands in the decompositions of Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), i.e., those $m_i, p_j^{n_j}$, are in fact uniquely determined by the group G .

Remark

In Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), we have seen that every finitely generated abelian group G can be decomposed as the direct sums of cyclic groups of certain orders; loosely Speaking,

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.1)}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_\ell^{n_\ell}} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.2)}$$

with $m_1 > 1$, $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \cdots \mid m_t$, p_1, \dots, p_ℓ primes (not necessarily distinct), and $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

In Theorem (2.6), we will show that the orders of the cyclic summands in the decompositions of Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), i.e., those $m_i, p_j^{n_j}$, are in fact uniquely determined by the group G .

Remark

In Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), we have seen that every finitely generated abelian group G can be decomposed as the direct sums of cyclic groups of certain orders; loosely Speaking,

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.1)}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_\ell^{n_\ell}} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.2)}$$

with $m_1 > 1$, $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \cdots \mid m_t$, p_1, \dots, p_ℓ primes (not necessarily distinct), and $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

In Theorem (2.6), we will show that the orders of the cyclic summands in the decompositions of Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), i.e., those $m_i, p_j^{n_j}$, are in fact **uniquely** determined by the group G .

Remark

In Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), we have seen that every finitely generated abelian group G can be decomposed as the direct sums of cyclic groups of certain orders; loosely Speaking,

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.1)}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_\ell^{n_\ell}} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.2)}$$

with $m_1 > 1$, $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \cdots \mid m_t$, p_1, \dots, p_ℓ primes (not necessarily distinct), and $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

In Theorem (2.6), we will show that the orders of the cyclic summands in the decompositions of Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), i.e., those $m_i, p_j^{n_j}$, are in fact **uniquely** determined by the group G . Before we prove Theorem (2.6), we consider a few subgroups of G and investigate some properties of these subgroups.

Remark

In Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), we have seen that every finitely generated abelian group G can be decomposed as the direct sums of cyclic groups of certain orders; loosely Speaking,

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.1)}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_\ell^{n_\ell}} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.2)}$$

with $m_1 > 1$, $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \cdots \mid m_t$, p_1, \dots, p_ℓ primes (not necessarily distinct), and $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

In Theorem (2.6), we will show that the orders of the cyclic summands in the decompositions of Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), i.e., those $m_i, p_j^{n_j}$, are in fact **uniquely** determined by the group G . Before we prove Theorem (2.6), we consider a few subgroups of G and investigate some properties of these subgroups.

Remark

In Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), we have seen that every finitely generated abelian group G can be decomposed as the direct sums of cyclic groups of certain orders; loosely Speaking,

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_t} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.1)}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_\ell^{n_\ell}} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Theorem (2.2)}$$

with $m_1 > 1$, $m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \cdots \mid m_t$, p_1, \dots, p_ℓ primes (not necessarily distinct), and $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

In Theorem (2.6), we will show that the orders of the cyclic summands in the decompositions of Theorem (2.1) and (2.2), i.e., those $m_i, p_j^{n_j}$, are in fact **uniquely** determined by the group G . Before we prove Theorem (2.6), we consider a few subgroups of G and investigate some properties of these subgroups.

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group,

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number.

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

(i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

(i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;

(ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (i), let $mu, mv \in mG$ with $u, v \in G$.

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

(i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;

(ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;

(iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;

(iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (i), let $mu, mv \in mG$ with $u, v \in G$. Since $mu - mv = m(u - v)$

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

(i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;

(ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;

(iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;

(iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (i), let $mu, mv \in mG$ with $u, v \in G$. Since $mu - mv = m(u - v)$ and $u - v \in G$,

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

(i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;

(ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;

(iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;

(iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (i), let $mu, mv \in mG$ with $u, v \in G$. Since $mu - mv = m(u - v)$ and $u - v \in G$, $mu - mv \in mG$.

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (i), let $mu, mv \in mG$ with $u, v \in G$. Since $mu - mv = m(u - v)$ and $u - v \in G$, $mu - mv \in mG$. This shows that mG is a subgroup of G .

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (i), let $mu, mv \in mG$ with $u, v \in G$. Since $mu - mv = m(u - v)$ and $u - v \in G$, $mu - mv \in mG$. This shows that mG is a subgroup of G . For (ii), let $u, v \in G[m]$.

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (i), let $mu, mv \in mG$ with $u, v \in G$. Since $mu - mv = m(u - v)$ and $u - v \in G$, $mu - mv \in mG$. This shows that mG is a subgroup of G . For (ii), let $u, v \in G[m]$. Then $mu = 0 = mv$.

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (i), let $mu, mv \in mG$ with $u, v \in G$. Since $mu - mv = m(u - v)$ and $u - v \in G$, $mu - mv \in mG$. This shows that mG is a subgroup of G . For (ii), let $u, v \in G[m]$. Then $mu = 0 = mv$. Since $m(u - v) = mu - mv$

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (i), let $mu, mv \in mG$ with $u, v \in G$. Since $mu - mv = m(u - v)$ and $u - v \in G$, $mu - mv \in mG$. This shows that mG is a subgroup of G . For (ii), let $u, v \in G[m]$. Then $mu = 0 = mv$. Since $m(u - v) = mu - mv = 0$,

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (i), let $mu, mv \in mG$ with $u, v \in G$. Since $mu - mv = m(u - v)$ and $u - v \in G$, $mu - mv \in mG$. This shows that mG is a subgroup of G . For (ii), let $u, v \in G[m]$. Then $mu = 0 = mv$. Since $m(u - v) = mu - mv = 0$, $u - v \in G[m]$.

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (i), let $mu, mv \in mG$ with $u, v \in G$. Since $mu - mv = m(u - v)$ and $u - v \in G$, $mu - mv \in mG$. This shows that mG is a subgroup of G . For (ii), let $u, v \in G[m]$. Then $mu = 0 = mv$. Since $m(u - v) = mu - mv = 0$, $u - v \in G[m]$. Hence $G[m]$ is a subgroup of G .

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

(i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;

(ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;

(iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;

(iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (iii),

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (iii), note that

$$G(p) = \{u \in G \mid p^n u = 0 \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}.$$

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (iii), note that

$$G(p) = \{u \in G \mid p^n u = 0 \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}.$$

Let $u, v \in G(p)$.

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (iii), note that

$$G(p) = \{u \in G \mid p^n u = 0 \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}.$$

Let $u, v \in G(p)$. Then $p^n u = 0$ and $p^\ell v = 0$ for some $n, \ell \geq 0$.

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (iii), note that

$$G(p) = \{u \in G \mid p^n u = 0 \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}.$$

Let $u, v \in G(p)$. Then $p^n u = 0$ and $p^\ell v = 0$ for some $n, \ell \geq 0$.

Since $p^{n+\ell}(u - v) = p^n p^\ell(u - v)$

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (iii), note that

$$G(p) = \{u \in G \mid p^n u = 0 \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}.$$

Let $u, v \in G(p)$. Then $p^n u = 0$ and $p^\ell v = 0$ for some $n, \ell \geq 0$.

Since $p^{n+\ell}(u - v) = p^n p^\ell(u - v) = p^\ell(p^n u) - p^n(p^\ell v)$

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (iii), note that

$$G(p) = \{u \in G \mid p^n u = 0 \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}.$$

Let $u, v \in G(p)$. Then $p^n u = 0$ and $p^\ell v = 0$ for some $n, \ell \geq 0$.

Since $p^{n+\ell}(u - v) = p^n p^\ell(u - v) = p^\ell(p^n u) - p^n(p^\ell v) = 0$,

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (iii), note that

$$G(p) = \{u \in G \mid p^n u = 0 \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}.$$

Let $u, v \in G(p)$. Then $p^n u = 0$ and $p^\ell v = 0$ for some $n, \ell \geq 0$.

Since $p^{n+\ell}(u - v) = p^n p^\ell(u - v) = p^\ell(p^n u) - p^n(p^\ell v) = 0$,
 $u - v \in G(p)$.

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (iii), note that

$$G(p) = \{u \in G \mid p^n u = 0 \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}.$$

Let $u, v \in G(p)$. Then $p^n u = 0$ and $p^\ell v = 0$ for some $n, \ell \geq 0$.

Since $p^{n+\ell}(u - v) = p^n p^\ell(u - v) = p^\ell(p^n u) - p^n(p^\ell v) = 0$,

$u - v \in G(p)$. Hence $G(p)$ is a subgroup of G .

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (iv),

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (iv), note that

$$G_t = \{u \in G \mid nu = 0 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

(i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;

(ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;

(iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;

(iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (iv), note that

$$G_t = \{u \in G \mid nu = 0 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Let $u, v \in G_t$.

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (iv), note that

$$G_t = \{u \in G \mid nu = 0 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Let $u, v \in G_t$. Then $nu = 0 = \ell v$ for some $n, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

(i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;

(ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;

(iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;

(iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (iv), note that

$$G_t = \{u \in G \mid nu = 0 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Let $u, v \in G_t$. Then $nu = 0 = lv$ for some $n, l \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

$$nl(u - v) = l(nu) - n(lv) = 0$$

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (iv), note that

$$G_t = \{u \in G \mid nu = 0 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Let $u, v \in G_t$. Then $nu = 0 = lv$ for some $n, l \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $nl(u - v) = l(nu) - n(lv) = 0$ and $nl \in \mathbb{N}$,

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (iv), note that

$$G_t = \{u \in G \mid nu = 0 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Let $u, v \in G_t$. Then $nu = 0 = lv$ for some $n, l \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $nl(u - v) = l(nu) - n(lv) = 0$ and $nl \in \mathbb{N}$, $u - v \in G_t$.

Lemma (2.5)

Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. For (iv), note that

$$G_t = \{u \in G \mid nu = 0 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Let $u, v \in G_t$. Then $nu = 0 = lv$ for some $n, l \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $nl(u - v) = l(nu) - n(lv) = 0$ and $nl \in \mathbb{N}$, $u - v \in G_t$. Hence G_t is a subgroup of G .

Lemma (2.5). Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Lemma (2.5). Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

Next, we see and prove some properties related to these subgroups.

Lemma (2.5). Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
 - (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
 - (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
 - (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.
- $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma (2.5). Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
 - (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
 - (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
 - (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.
- $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Note that

$$\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \mid pk = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}\}$$

Lemma (2.5). Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
 - (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
 - (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
 - (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.
- $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] &= \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \mid pk = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}\} \\ &= \{kp^{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \mid 0 \leq k \leq p-1\},\end{aligned}$$

Lemma (2.5). Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
 - (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
 - (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
 - (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.
- $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] &= \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \mid pk = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}\} \\ &= \{kp^{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \mid 0 \leq k \leq p-1\},\end{aligned}$$

which has precisely p elements.

Lemma (2.5). Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
 - (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
 - (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
 - (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.
- $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] &= \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \mid pk = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}\} \\ &= \{kp^{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \mid 0 \leq k \leq p-1\},\end{aligned}$$

which has precisely p elements. Furthermore, since $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p]$ is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} ,

Lemma (2.5). Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
 - (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
 - (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
 - (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.
- $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] &= \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \mid pk = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}\} \\ &= \{kp^{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \mid 0 \leq k \leq p-1\},\end{aligned}$$

which has precisely p elements. Furthermore, since $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p]$ is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} , $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p]$ is cyclic of order p

Lemma (2.5). Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
 - (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
 - (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
 - (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.
- $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] &= \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \mid pk = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}\} \\ &= \{kp^{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \mid 0 \leq k \leq p-1\},\end{aligned}$$

which has precisely p elements. Furthermore, since $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p]$ is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} , $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p]$ is cyclic of order p and so $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Lemma (2.5). Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

- $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $p^m \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-m}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > m$.

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] &= \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \mid pk = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}\} \\ &= \{kp^{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \mid 0 \leq k \leq p-1\},\end{aligned}$$

which has precisely p elements. Furthermore, since $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p]$ is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} , $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p]$ is cyclic of order p and so $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Lemma (2.5). Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

- $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $p^m \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-m}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > m$.

Proof. Because $p^m \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ is the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} generated by p^m and $p^m \mid p^n$,

Lemma (2.5). Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

- $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $p^m \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-m}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > m$.

Proof. Because $p^m \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ is the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} generated by p^m and $p^m \mid p^n$, $p^m \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} = \langle p^m \rangle$ is cyclic of order

Lemma (2.5). Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

- $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $p^m \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-m}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > m$.

Proof. Because $p^m \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ is the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} generated by p^m and $p^m \mid p^n$, $p^m \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} = \langle p^m \rangle$ is cyclic of order $\frac{p^n}{p^m}$

Lemma (2.5). Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

- $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $p^m \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-m}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > m$.

Proof. Because $p^m \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ is the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} generated by p^m and $p^m \mid p^n$, $p^m \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} = \langle p^m \rangle$ is cyclic of order $\frac{p^n}{p^m} = p^{n-m}$,

Lemma (2.5). Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

- $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $p^m \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-m}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > m$.

Proof. Because $p^m \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ is the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} generated by p^m and $p^m \mid p^n$, $p^m \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} = \langle p^m \rangle$ is cyclic of order $\frac{p^n}{p^m} = p^{n-m}$, and so is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-m}}$.

Lemma (2.5). Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

- $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $p^m \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-m}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > m$.

There are two more statements in Lemma (2.5).

Lemma (2.5). Let G be an abelian group, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number. Then each of the following is a subgroup of G :

- (i) $mG = \{mu \mid u \in G\}$;
- (ii) $G[m] = \{u \in G \mid mu = 0\}$;
- (iii) $G(p) = \{u \in G \mid |u| = p^n \text{ for some } n \geq 0\}$;
- (iv) $G_t = \{u \in G \mid |u| \text{ is finite}\}$.

- $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[p] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $p^m \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-m}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > m$.

There are two more statements in Lemma (2.5). Before we state and prove them, we see two easy lemmas.